COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RECEN	/ED
-------	-----

ccp 4 & 2003

		763 7 9 man
IN THE MATTER OF:)	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
PETITION OF NPCR, INC. d/b/a)	CASE NO. 2003-00143
NEXTEL PARTNERS FOR)	
DESIGNATION AS AN ELIGIBLE)	
TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIER)	
IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)	
)	

NEXTEL PARTNERS' RESPONSES TO INFORMATION REQUESTS OF LOGAN TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE, INC., ET AL.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

The responses of NPCR, INC. d/b/a Nextel Partners ("Nextel Partners") herein are made without in any way waiving or intending to waive, but on the contrary, intending to preserve and preserving:

- 1. The right to question as to competency, relevancy, materiality, privilege and as evidence for any purpose, any information request, response or objection or the subject matter thereof in any subsequent proceeding or in the hearing of this or any other action;
- 2. The right to object to the use of any such responses, objections or subject matter thereof in any subsequent proceeding or hearing of this action or any other action;
- 3. The right to object on any ground at any time to a demand for further response to these or any other information requests involving or relating to the subject matter of the information requests responded to; and
- 4. The right at any time to revise, correct, add to, or clarify any of the responses herein.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

To the extent these requests seek Nextel Partners to provide responses on behalf of Nextel Communications, Inc., Nextel Partners has no authority to do so.

Nextel Partners objects the instructions and definitions in the Requests to the extent they impose an obligations greater that allowed in the relevant rules of procedure and practice applicable before the Kentucky Public Service Commission.

RESPONSES TO INFORMATION REQUESTS

REQUEST NO. 1: For each ETC area, please provide a list of, and description of service offerings, rates and rate structures for services to be offered from each Nextel Partner including, where applicable, the amount of minutes of use included within the rate for such service and the calling scope of those minute.

RESPONSE TO 1: Nextel Partners objects to this Request as overbroad, and as seeking production of a substantial amount of information that is neither admissible nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, to the extent it would require Nextel Partners to identify all rate plans that might be in effect. Nextel Partners is not seeking approval of any particular offerings. Nextel Partners further objects to this request to the extent it would seek information about non-voice services.

Subject to those objections and without waiver thereof, Nextel Partners states that offerings currently available directly from the company will be provided. The minutes of use included in each plan are identified therein. For plans with long distance included, all calls within the United States (up to the allotted minutes) are made without additional charge. For plans without long distance included, the local calling areas are as follows:

Evansville, Owensboro	S III/S. Ind/W Kent
Lexington	Statewide KY/Southern Indiana

2

Louisville	Statewide KY/Southern Illinois

REQUEST NO. 2: Please describe in detail the "universal service' offering" that Nextel Partners intends to offer in the context of its ETC designation request.

RESPONSE TO 2: Nextel Partners does not propose a "universal service offering" per se. Under the FCC's Rules, ETCs report line counts to the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC"). Any line of service that contains the FCC's supported services is an eligible line. Nextel Partners will comply with those directives. Nextel Partners expects that all of its voice customers will be eligible for universal service support. For example, each of the offerings identified in response to Information Request 1 contains the supported services and is eligible to be reported as a line.

REQUEST NO. 3: How does Nextel Partners propose that the public and the Commission determine whether its rates are just, reasonable, and affordable for the services and service areas associated with its ETC designated request?

RESPONSE TO 3: There is no requirement for the Kentucky Commission to judge whether individual service offerings provided by Nextel Partners are "just, reasonable, and affordable," and Nextel Partners does not propose that such a determination be made. In a competitive market, consumers decide what services best meet their needs. If consumers do not find Nextel Partners' service to be reasonable and affordable, they will not buy the service. If customers do not buy the service, Nextel Partners will obtain no universal service funding.

REQUEST NO. 4: Please provide boundary maps which indicate the service areas where Nextel Partners seeks ETC designation showing Serving Independent Telephone Group Member local exchange areas. Also, please identify RSA, MSA, Major Trading Area ("MTA"), and county boundaries as well as existing tower/antenna sites.

RESPONSE TO 4: Nextel Partners objects to producing documents it does not have. Subject to that objection and without waiver thereof, Nextel Partners will produce maps that show

projected signal propagation on top of Independent Telephone Group Member local exchange areas.

REQUEST NO. 5: Please provide a serviced coverage map (39 dbu contours) for each Nextel Partners company within each serving Independent Telephone Group Member local exchange area for which you have requested ETC designation.

RESPONSE TO 5: Nextel Partners objects to this Request as seeking information neither admissible nor reasonably calculated to lead to admissible evidence, and as requiring Nextel Partners to develop and produce information it does not currently have.

REQUEST NO. 6: What is Nextel Partners' signal strength in the areas in which it seeks ETC designation?

RESPONSE TO 6: Nextel Partners objects to this Request as vague as to what the term "signal strength" means. The maps produced in response to Request No. 4 demonstrate the areas in which a customer with a 3 watt phone can send and receive a signal.

REQUEST NO. 7: How does Nextel Partners determine what signal strength is sufficient to serve customers? Please provide all work papers, including maps showing signal coverage to support the response.

RESPONSE TO 7: Nextel Partners objects to this request as vague. Nextel Partners further objects as burdensome, overbroad, and as seeking information that is neither admissible nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Nextel Partners has produced a signal propagation map based on its network data in response to Request No. 4. This signal propagation assumes a signal to be received and sent by a 3 watt unit, which is -105 db.

REQUEST NO. 8: Please identify any gaps (areas where wireless phone service is unavailable) in any of the areas in which Nextel Partners seeks ETC designation.

RESPONSE TO 8: Nextel Partners objects to this request as calling for information that is neither admissible nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of information relevant to the matters presented in this proceeding.

An applicant for federal ETC designation does not have to prove that it has facilities throughout a service are prior to designation. The applicant need only show an intent and ability to serve once designated and to make a commitment to meet reasonable requests for service over time. In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service Western Wireless Corporation Petition for Preemption of an Order of the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission, Declaratory Ruling, CC Docket 96-45, FCC 00-248, 15 FCC Rcd at 15175 (rel. August 10, 2000) ("Declaratory Ruling") (A telecommunications carrier's inability to demonstrate that it can provide ubiquitous service at the time of its request for designation as an ETC does not preclude its designation as an ETC. To do so would have the effect of prohibiting new entrants from providing telecommunications service). An applicant for ETC designation must be given the same reasonable opportunity to develop its network as that afforded an ILEC:

We find the requirement that a carrier provide service to every potential customer throughout the service area before receiving ETC designation has the effect of prohibiting the provision of service in high-cost areas. As an ETC, the incumbent LEC is required to make service available to all consumers upon request, but the incumbent LEC may not have facilities to every possible consumer. We believe the ETC requirements should be no different for carriers that are not incumbent LECs. A new entrant, once designated as an ETC, is required, as the incumbent is required, to extend its network to serve new customers upon reasonable request. We find, therefore, that new entrants must be allowed the same reasonable opportunity to provide service to requesting customers as the incumbent LEC, once designated as an ETC. Thus, we find that a telecommunications carrier's inability to demonstrate that it can provide ubiquitous service at the time of its request for designation as an ETC should not preclude its designation as an ETC.

Id. (emphasis added).

Subject to that objection and without waiver thereof, the maps produced in response to Request No. 4 shows any such gaps.

REQUEST NO. 9: Please explain how Nextel Partners plans to provide service throughout the service area of each Serving Independent Telephone Group Members.

RESPONSE TO 9: Nextel Partners will meet its obligation to provide service upon reasonable request. Nextel Partners provides service through much of those areas today, and expects over time to continue to extend its network in the state.

REQUEST NO. 10: Please explain how Nextel Partners provides a dedicated message path for use by its respective end user customers for that customer's communications transmission when the end user customer exits the Nextel Partners' geographic coverage area.

RESPONSE TO 10: If a customer leaves Nextel Partners' coverage area and is within Nextel Communications, Inc.'s coverage area, that dedicated message path will be picked up by a Nextel Communications cell site. If the customer leaves Nextel Partners' coverage area and is not within Nextel Communications, Inc.'s network, the customer's call will be terminated. At all times prior to the termination of that transmission, the customer will have a dedicated message path for his or her call.

REQUEST NO. 11: Does Nextel Partners provide "local exchange service" to its respective customers? If not, why not? If so, does Nextel Partners consider such "local exchange service" to be the same as "telephone exchange service" as that term is defined in the Act? If not, why not?

RESPONSE TO 11: Nextel Partners provides commercial mobile radio service ("CMRS"), which is not a local exchange service. However, the FCC has determined that two-way CMRS is "telephone exchange service" as that term is used under federal law.

REQUEST NO. 12: To the extent that there is more than one operating company of Nextel Partners for which Nextel Partners seeks ETC designation in Kentucky, please identify the different entities with respect to the responses to Requests 4 and 5.

RESPONSE TO 12: There is only one operating company for which Nextel Partners seeks ETC designation in Kentucky.

REQUEST NO. 13: Please provide the call completion rate for originating end user traffic over Nextel Partners network for a recent representative period to include at least three (3) months.

RESPONSE TO 13: Nextel Partners objects to this Request as calling for information that is neither admissible nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

1565445v3

Nextel Partners objects to this request as burdensome and as requiring it to produce an analysis of data it does not record. This request appears to seek information on whether Nextel Partners meets certain standards that under the Commission's rules apply to local exchange carriers. Nextel Partners is not a local exchange carrier, and does not measure call completion rates. In addition, there are no ETC criteria under the FCC's rules or the Commission's rules that call completion standards or make such considerations relevant in an ETC proceeding.

REQUEST NO. 14: Please explain how Nextel Partners provides access to operator services to its respective end user customers and the dialing arrangements required for such end user customer to access such services. If access to operator services is not provided by Nextel Partners, please provide the name of the entity that provides such services.

RESPONSE TO 14: Nextel Partners' is in the process of activating operator service trunks. Once it does so, customers in Kentucky will be able to reach a BellSouth operator by dialing 0.

REQUEST NO. 15: With respect to the service options offered by Nextel Partners and identified in response to Request 1 above, does Nextel Partners have rate plans that offer different rates depending on the geographic scope of the call? Does Nextel Partners provide toll pre-subscription to its end user customers? If not, why not? If toll pre-subscription is not provided, please provide the name of the toll provider that Nextel Partners uses to provide along distance service to its end user customers.

RESPONSE TO 15: Nextel Partners objects to this Request as overbroad, and as seeking production of information that is neither admissible nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, to the extent it would require Nextel Partners to identify all rate plans that might be in effect. Nextel Partners is not seeking approval of any particular offerings. Nextel Partners further objects to this request to the extent it would seek information about non-voice services.

Subject to those objections and without waiver thereof, with regard to the plans identified above, the local calling areas in Kentucky are set forth in the response to Request 1. The national calling scope is 50 states.

Nextel Partners does not provide toll presubscription, or "equal access." Congress has preempted any requirement that a CMRS provider offer equal access. Nextel Partners is the customer's provider for all calls made, whether considered local or long distance, and whether or not such a call would be within the scope of 47 C.F.R. § 51.701 et seq.

REQUEST NO. 16: Can an end user customer that uses the services of Nextel Partners gain access to an inter-exchange carrier of the customer's choice through dial-around arrangements (101XXXX) and/or through use of a 1-800 number? When a Nextel Partners end user customer places a 1-800 (or similar "toll-free" NXX) call, does Nextel Partners charge the originating customer? If the customer has paid for an "amount of minutes" as part of it service offering as identified in response to Request 1 above, does the placement of the "toll-free" call count against that amount of minutes? Please respond to the same question with respect to an operator assisted "collect" or reverse charge call.

RESPONSE TO 16: Nextel Partners states that a customer can dial 1-800 numbers, but not 101XXXX numbers. 1-800 calls count against a customer's "bucket of minutes." Presently, Kentucky customers cannot reach an operator to make a collect call. Once those trunks are activated, a collect call will be able to be made by dialing 0, and would count against a customer's "bucket of minutes."

REQUEST NO. 17: Please specifically explain how Nextel Partners provides to its respective end user customers access to directory assistance, including, but not limited to, information contained in director listings upon request of that customer.

RESPONSE TO 17: Customers can dial 411 and will be connected to directory assistance provided by Metro One.

REQUEST NO. 18: Please specifically explain how Nextel Partners plans to provide toll limitation service as defined by the FCC.

RESPONSE TO 18: Nextel Partners expects to provide Lifeline customers with an offering that contains no toll minutes, and to offer to block all outgoing toll calls at the customer's request. Nextel Partners can program the network to block such calls from a requesting Lifeline customer.

REQUEST NO. 19: Please specifically explain how Nextel Partners plans to provide lifeline and link-up service.

RESPONSE TO 19: Nextel Partners will provide telecommunications services to qualifying individuals who apply for Lifeline assistance as required by the FCC and expects to provide these consumers Nextel Partners' lowest cost generally available offering, with a discount, plus an option to add toll blocking. The term "Linkup" is not associated with a telecommunications service offering, but is a discount that applies to service initiation fees as prescribed in the FCC's regulations. Nextel Partners will comply with such regulations as required to serve qualified customers.

REQUEST NO. 20: Please describe in detail how Nextel Partners measures service quality on its network and provide any appropriate documentation.

RESPONSE TO 20: Nextel Partners objects to this Request as calling for information that is neither admissible nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Nextel Partners further objects to this request as overbroad. The information requested is extremely competitively sensitive, and tightly guarded by the company.

This Request appears to seek information on whether Nextel Partners meets certain service standards that under the Commission's rules apply to local exchange carriers. There are no ETC criteria under the FCC's rules or the Commission's rules that establish standards for these items, or make such considerations relevant in an ETC proceeding.

REQUEST NO. 21: How does Nextel Partners determine the need for more transmission channels and/or cell sites?

RESPONSE TO 21: Nextel Partners objects to this Request as calling for information that is neither admissible nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

REQUEST NO. 22: Does Nextel Partners comply with the Commission's quality of service rules? If not, why not?

RESPONSE TO 22: Nextel Partners understands that the Commission's service quality rules do not apply to providers of CMRS. Nextel Partners complies with all regulations that lawfully apply to it.

REQUEST NO. 23: Please specifically explain how Nextel Partners plans to advertise the availability of the service throughout the service area of each Serving Independent Telephone Group Member.

RESPONSE TO 23: Nextel Partners does not propose any specific advertising plans for consideration. Nextel Partners is not aware of any specific advertising requirements contained within state or federal law. The Nextel brand name is advertised through several different media, including newspaper, television, radio, and billboard advertising. Nextel Partners' nationwide advertising costs totaled approximately \$35.1 million for the year ending December 31, 2002. In Kentucky, Nextel Partners collaboratively advertises with Nextel Communications through media of general distribution, including newspaper, magazine, billboard and yellow page advertisements, as well as radio and television ads. In addition, Nextel Partners advertises through point-of-sale marketing efforts at various wireless-telecommunications and general-electronic retail stores and over the Internet at www.Nextel.com. Nextel Partners also maintains various retail store locations throughout its authorized service areas, which provide an additional source of advertising. Nextel Partners intends use the same media of general distribution that it currently employs to advertise its universal service offerings throughout the service areas.

REQUEST NO. 24: If the Commission were to establish a state USF, and assume that the Commission would require contributions to such state USF of all telecommunications carriers operating within Kentucky based on a carrier's intrastate end user revenues, should Nextel Partners' customer revenue be included in the contribution base? If not, why not?

RESPONSE TO 24: Nextel Partners would pay all taxes lawfully imposed on its services.

REQUEST NO. 25: In the event that the Kentucky PSC established a State Universal Service Fund, would Nextel Partners apply for state funding?

RESPONSE TO 25: Nextel Partners has not determined whether it would, in the future, seek state funding.

REQUEST NO. 26: Please identify whether Nextel Partners utilizes a company-specific percentage to determine its respective interstate Universal Service Fund ("USF") contribution obligation or whether Nextel Partners utilizes the FCC's USF safe harbor percentage. If company-specific percentages are used by Nextel Partners, please provide that percent.

RESPONSE TO 26: Nextel Partners uses a company-specific intrastate percentage of 15.6%.

REQUEST NO. 27: What interconnection arrangements and/or agreements does Nextel Partners have in place in Kentucky for the origination and/or termination of traffic between its end users and the end users served by serving Independent Telephone Group members?

RESPONSE TO 27: Nextel Partners objects to this request as seeking information that is neither admissible nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of information relevant to the matters presented in this proceeding. Subject to these objections and without waiver thereof, interconnection and/or traffic exchange agreements, if any, are on file with the Commission.

REQUEST NO. 28: Assuming the ETC designation request of Nextel partners were granted, please identify the specific provisions of the Commission's regulations and oversight to which Nextel Partners is willing to agree to be subject as a "universal service" ETC provider in Kentucky.

RESPONSE TO 28: The FCC has provided that a state commission has ongoing authority to ensure that an any ETC is meeting its obligations as an ETC to offer and advertise the supported services. Such oversight authority is not dependent on the agreement of an ETC.

REQUEST NO. 29: For each Serving Independent Telephone Group Member, please indicate the number of CMRS users currently served by Nextel Partners for which Nextel Partners seeks designation and interstate Universal Service support disbursements.

RESPONSE TO 29: Nextel Partners objects to this request as calling for information that is neither admissible nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Once designated as an ETC, Nextel Partners would be required to report lines to the Universal Service Administrative Corporation ("USAC"), and USAC will determine eligible lines and funding amounts.

1565445v3

REQUEST NO. 30: Please identify any other parties that Nextel Partners has contracted with to build, construct or otherwise maintain its network and related facilities.

RESPONSE TO 30: Nextel Partners objects to this request as seeking information that is neither admissible nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

REQUEST NO. 31: Please provide all FCC documents related to status of Phase I and/or Phase II E-911 deployment (or the specific locations on the applicable FCC web page) for each of the counties for which Nextel Partners seeks ETC status.

RESPONSE TO 31: See http://www.fcc.gov/911/enhanced/reports/phase2-waiver.html. Nextel

Partners' August 1 E911 Report, including a status matrix of Phase I and Phase II requests nationwide, are produced herewith.

REQUEST NO. 32: How will an end user customer of Nextel Partners in each serving Independent Telephone Group member's serving area contact Nextel customer service concerning trouble with their service or complaints about their billing?

RESPONSE TO 32: Customer care can be reached by dialing 611 from a Nextel phone, or by dialing 1-800-NEXTEL9 from another phone.

Dated: September 16, 2003

PIKE LEGAL GROUP, PLLC

David A. Pike

Pike Legal Group, PLLC

1578 Highway 44 East, Suite 6

P.O. Box 369

Shepherdsville, KY 40165-0369

Tel: (502) 955-4400 Fax: (502) 543-4410

BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A.

Philip R. Schenkenberg (#MN 260551) W2200 First National Bank Building

332 Minnesota Street

Saint Paul, Minnesota 55101

Tel: (651) 808-6600 Fax: (651) 808-6450

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, David A. Pike, an attorney with the law firm of Pike Legal Group, PLLC, hereby certify that on this the 16th day of September, 2003, I caused a true and complete copy of the foregoing Responses to Information Requests of Logan Telephone Cooperative, Inc., et al. to be sent via U.S. Mail, postage-prepaid, to the following:


James Dean Liebman P.O. Box 478 Frankfort, KY 40602


Lindsey W. Ingram, Jr. Stoll, Keenon & Park, LLP 300 West Vine St., Ste. 2100 Lexington, KY 40507-1801 Joan Coleman
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
601 West Chestnut Street
Room 410
Louisville, KY 40232

Stephen R. Byars ALLTEL Kentucky, Inc. Kentucky ALLTEL, Inc. P. O. Box 1650 Lexington, KY 40588-1650

DAVID A. PIKÉ

PIKE LEGAL GROUP, PLLC





Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
Revision of the Commission's Rules to)	CC Docket No. 94-102
Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced)	
911 Emergency Calling Systems)	
)	
Wireless E911 Phase II Implementation)	
Plan of Nextel Communications, Inc. and	ĺ	
Nextel Partners, Inc.)		

NEXTEL PARTNERS, INC. PHASE I AND PHASE II E911 QUARTERLY REPORT August 1, 2003

To: Chief, Enforcement Bureau
Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to the October 12, 2001 Order of the Federal Communications

Commission ("Commission" or "FCC") in CC Docket No. 94-102, 1 Nextel Partner, Inc.

("Nextel Partners") respectfully submits this Enhanced 911 ("E911") Quarterly Report on its implementation of Phase I and Phase II E911. Nextel Partners achieved its first Phase II benchmark, October 14, 2002, when it began selling and activating an Assisted Global Positioning Satellite ("A-GPS") handset. Since that date Nextel Partners has begun selling a second A-GPS handset model and has launched 60 Public Safety Answering Points ("PSAPs") with Phase II service. Herein, Nextel Partners provides an update on all relevant events impacting handset upgrades and network infrastructure necessary to

¹ In the Matter of Revision of the Commission's Rules To Ensure Compatibility With Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems, Wireless E911 Phase II Implementation Plan of Nextel Communications, Inc., Order, CC Docket No. 94-102, FCC 01-295, released October 12, 2001 ("Nextel Waiver Order").

enable Phase II E911 location capabilities as well as a listing of all deployed and pending requests for Phase I and Phase II E911 service and the status of each request.

BACKGROUND

Pursuant to the Nextel Waiver Order, the Commission imposed on Nextel Partners and Nextel Communications, Inc. ("Nextel") the following Phase II E911 implementation benchmarks:

October 1, 2002: Begin selling and activating A-GPS-capable handsets;

December 31, 2002: Ensure that at least 10% of all new handsets activated are

A-GPS-capable;

December 1, 2003. Ensure that at least 50% of all new handsets activated are

A-GPS-capable;

December 1, 2004: Ensure that 100% of all new digital handsets activated are

A-GPS-capable;

December 31, 2005: 95% of all subscriber handsets in service are A-GPS-

capable.2

As Nextel Partners has detailed in its previous Reports,³ Nextel Partners, Nextel and Motorola began developing an A-GPS capability for Nextel's integrated digital enhanced network ("iDEN") technology in the Fourth Quarter of 2000, prior to the Commission granting Nextel's waiver request. Launching a complicated technology to first calculate, and then deliver, location information from an iDEN handset to a PSAP, particularly in the compressed timeline demanded by the Nextel Waiver Order, required extraordinary efforts and unprecedented coordination among numerous entities. This

² Nextel Waiver Order at ¶37.

³ See, e.g., Nextel Partners Inc. Phase I and Phase II E911 Quarterly Report, CC Docket No. 94-102 (Nov. 1, 2002) ("Nextel's November Report); Nextel Partners, Inc. Phase II E911 Quarterly Report, CC Docket 94-102 (Aug. 1, 2002); Nextel Partners, Inc. Phase II E911 Quarterly Report, CC Docket 94-102 (May 1, 2002).

multi-party coordination continues as Nextel Partners and Nextel introduces additional A-GPS capable handsets and deploy individual PSAPs.

DISCUSSION

A. A-GPS Capable Handsets

Following the launch of its first A-GPS capable handset, the i88s, on October 14, 2002 in compliance with its first Phase II implementation benchmark, Nextel Partners introduced its second A-GPS capable handset, the i58sr, on January 1, 2003. Nextel Partners continues to work with its sole handset vendor, Motorola, to develop additional A-GPS capable models, three of which are planned to launch commercially by the end of 2003 commencing at the beginning of the Third Quarter with an aggressive roll out schedule. Nextel Partners, via an independent third-party consultant, completed accuracy testing of its A-GPS handsets prior to its October 14, 2002 benchmark date and met the Commission's accuracy standards. Per Nextel's Waiver Order, the next deployment benchmark period on which Nextel Partners must report ends on November 30, 2003. Nextel Partners will report on that benchmark in its February 2004 Quarterly Report.

B. Network Infrastructure

Nextel Partners remains committed to working cooperatively with PSAPs throughout the country to deploy PSAPs as efficiently as possible and has made notable progress since its May Report. Nextel Partners continues to deploy its two Phase II methodologies, which use Emergency Service Routing Keys ("ESRK") and Emergency Services Routing Digits ("ESRD"), and simultaneously has added further functionalities

⁴ Nextel's Waiver Order states that "Nextel must report, in the Quarterly Report immediately following the benchmark date...for the periods of December 31, 2002 to November 30, 2003..., the percentage of new handsets activated nationwide during the respective periods that were A-GPS capable, as well as the total number of new handsets during those periods that were A-GPS capable." Nextel Waiver Order at ¶ 32.

to its service.⁵ In some cases, however, because of complexities inherent in deployments and the numerous parties involved—and despite rigorous network and component testing by Nextel Partners and Motorola prior to its October 1, 2002 Phase II launch—Nextel Partners continues to discover end-to-end connectivity issues with some deployments that can create delay.

When it launched its Phase II service on October 14, 2002, Nextel Partners provided PSAPs a static class of service call identification, which typically was associated with Phase II location information, for all calls. In an on-going effort to provide public safety with the best location information possible on its wireless system, Nextel Partners and Nextel, with the assistance of its third party vendor Intrado, resolved initial technical deployment complexities and began to offer dynamic class of service, which differentiates Phase I from Phase II calls, on July 7, 2003. Initially this functionality has been provided to PSAPs in territories served by Verizon, Sprint and SBC and Nextel Partners anticipates completing rollout, where technically capable, of this functionality to PSAPs in areas served by other local exchange carriers ("LECs") by the end of September 2003.

On June 6, 2003 the Commission released a Public Notice setting forth uniform requirements governing the Appendix format in which carriers submit both Phase I and

⁵ As Nextel noted in its May 2003 Report, some PSAPs require a Phase II solution using ESRD rather than ESRK, which is the solution Nextel Partners and Nextel supported when they launched their Phase II technology. Either ESRK or ESRD is a technologically acceptable signaling solution to allow the PSAP to obtain E911 Phase II information from the wireless carrier's network. ESRK may also be referenced as non-call path associated signaling ("NCAS") or wire line compatibility mode and ESRD may be referenced as hybrid call path associated signaling ("HCAS").

Phase II deployment information with each Quarterly Report.⁶ Per these requirements, Nextel Partners has attached Appendix A, which is discussed in further detail below.

C. Phase I Requests

With respect to the Commission's requirement that Nextel Partners provide "information on all pending Phase I and Phase II requests," Nextel Partners has attached Appendix A listing all of its 71 pending Phase I requests and their current status. For each of the on-going Phase I deployment efforts, Appendix A provides all of the required information including the master PSAP registry identification number ("PSAP ID"), PSAP name, PSAP state, PSAP county, request date, whether the request is valid, a projected deployment date, reasons hindering deployment within the first six months of a PSAP's request and comments. The proposed deployment dates in Appendix A are projected dates, which Nextel Partners and the relevant PSAP are striving to meet.

Nextel Partners is in regular contact with each of these PSAPs and is working to deploy Phase I E911 as soon as possible. Nextel Partners has fully deployed Phase I E911 service with 435 PSAPs, which are listed on Appendix A.

⁶ Public Notice, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Standardizes Carrier Reporting on Wireless E911 Implementation, CC Docket No. 94-102, rel. June 6, 2003. According to the Public Notice, "[t]he submission of the attached spreadsheet will permit the Commission to track wireless E911 deployment in a more uniform and consistent manner, as well as assist E911 stakeholders in coordinating their deployment efforts."

⁷ See Nextel Waiver Order at ¶32.

⁸ Per Nextel's Waiver Order, Nextel Partners is required to report whether it believes each deployment request is (or is not) valid. See Nextel Waiver Order at ¶32.

⁹ In some cases there are delays caused by technology issues. Such delays do not necessarily mean that the PSAP or Nextel Partners is not "ready" for Phase I service. Rather, it often means there are issues involving incompatible technologies between Nextel Partners, the LEC and/or the PSAP.

D. Phase II Requests

Appendix A provides every pending Phase II request and associated information including the PSAP ID, PSAP name, PSAP state, PSAP county, request date, whether the request is valid, ¹⁰ a projected deployment date, reasons hindering deployment within the first six months of a PSAP's request and comments. Nextel Partners has 99 remaining pending Phase II requests and has asked that each of these PSAPs provide the documentation required in the *Richardson Order* for determining the request's validity. ¹¹

Similar to Phase I deployments, the proposed Phase II deployment dates in Appendix A are projected dates, which Nextel Partners and the relevant PSAP are striving to meet. Nextel Partners reiterates that accomplishing such deployments is subject to numerous factors and parties outside of Nextel Partners' control; thus, Nextel Partners' deployment schedule establishes a goal toward which Nextel Partners will work. It is possible, however, that complexities may be encountered that could delay some PSAP deployments. Nextel Partners is in regular contact with each of these PSAPs and is working to deploy Phase II E911 as soon as possible within mutually agreed upon time frames. Nextel Partners will continue to dedicate significant resources to maintain its aggressive roll out schedule to PSAPs that are capable of receiving and using location technology.

Since October 14, 2002, its first implementation benchmark, Nextel Partners has deployed Phase II service with 60 PSAPs, which are included in Appendix A. Notably,

¹⁰ See note 8 herein.

¹¹ See generally, In the Matter of Revision of the Commission's Rules To Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems, Petition of City of Richardson, Order On Reconsideration, CC Docket No. 94-102, FCC 01-293, released November 26, 2002. See also, Revision of the Commission's Rules To Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems, CC Docket No. 94-102, Order on Reconsideration, released Nov. 26, 2002.

of these 60 PSAPs, 29 have been deployed since Nextel Partners' May 1, 2003 Quarterly Report. Nextel Partners remains actively engaged with PSAPs at multiple locations and anticipates deploying Phase II service in additional areas in the near future, including the Commonwealth of Virginia, Jefferson County, KY, and Lubbock County, TX, consistent with mutually agreeable timeframes.

CONCLUSION

As required in the Nextel Waiver Order, ¹² Nextel Partners is providing this

Quarterly Report to the Executive Directors and counsel of the Association of Public

Safety Communications Officials-International, Inc. ("APCO"), the National Emergency

Number Association ("NENA") and the National Association of State Nine One One

Administrators ("NASNA"). Should any of these organizations or their individual PSAP

members have questions or concerns about Nextel Partners' submission, Nextel Partners

encourages them to contact Peter Gaffney, at the number listed below, as soon as possible

to facilitate rapid and efficient deployment of Nextel Partners' Phase I and Phase II E911

services.

Respectfully submitted, Nextel Partners, Inc.

Ву:
David Aas
Vice President – Technical Operations

¹² Nextel Waiver Order at ¶32.

Peter Gaffney Program Manager – Technical Operations

Donald Manning
Vice President – General Counsel

4500 Carillon Point Kirkland, WA 98033 (425) 576-3600

August 1, 2003

		r	01/22/2002	T $\bar{\lambda}$			1007/91/40	NĒŒ!	T	Crawford	Liu	Nouncedar 2010 Lugare III	Ilana
		 	01/22/2002	 ^ -	<u> ``</u>	 	1002/91/100	DEN IDEN	++	Crawford	1	As Buren Police Department	
		 -	01/22/2002	- ^	'N -	├──	04/16/2001	DEN !	+ -	Drotwer C		Viawford County Sheriffs Department	
	· · · ·	1/6/2004	2002/22/10	- ^-	N	 	07/10/2003	!DEN	7	Conway		Aomitton Police Department	
		10001311	02/07/2002	 	N N		06/21/2001	NEO!	1	Conway		Aomiton Police Department	
		 -	1002/81/01	1-2-	N	 	04/05/5001	NEO!	 	Clark		Slark County Sheriffs Department	1
			11/19/2001	- ^-	N N	 	08/02/2000	IDEN IDEN	+	Denton	-	Siloam Springs Police Department	
			1002/80/90	 	N	<u> </u>	08/07/2000	IDEN		Benton			
		10/26/2003	1006/80/90	 ^ -	N				L	Renton		togets City Police Department	
7/30/03 - New site being built and projected to be on-air by end of September. No other sites have been thin stea to date RFS fect date should have been changed to March 03 when site was identified.		2002/32/01		14			08/07/2009	N∃O!	l l	notraeg	AA	yenkonville City Police Department	562
			1002/80/90	^	N		0002/20/80	IDEN	1	Denton	AR	Senton County Central Communications	767
			02/05/2003		N		05/30/2002	NBQ!	T-	Tallapoosa	٦∀	allapoosa County Sheriffs Office	1272
			04/11/2001	λ	N		08/16/2000	IDEN	1	2nmter	□∀	Sumiter County Sheriffs Office	S 89Z
			1002/11/70		N.	_	10/27/2000	DEN	1	Russell	٦∀	Aussell County Sheriffs Office	E9Z
			1002/71/70	^	N		10/27/2000	DEN	T-	Russell	٦∀	Phenix City Police Department	322
		<u> </u>	05/30/2003		N	1	01/15/2003	N <u>E</u>	 	Pike	٦∀	roy Police Department	1 0/7
07/30/03 - WPY trunks installed from PH I and awaiting extended ALI installation for PSAP. 04/30/03 - MPI has added PSAPs into deployment schedule in Apr03, after NCI FOA was successful.	3'1'8	E002/61/60		N	N		1002/82/90	IDEN	2	Montgomery	1 -	youtgomery Police Department	
			09/12/2000		N .	<u></u>	03/08/2000	IDEN		Montgomery	∀۲	Nontgomery Police Department	244
		ļ	11/09/2000	٦,	N		07/25/2000	DEN	1	Montgomery	Ĵ∀	Nontgomery County Sheriffs Office	243 V
		Ĺ	04/16/2003		N		03/30/2001	IDEN	7	Mobile	JA	Aobile County Communication District	238 V
			08/53/5000	λ	N _		03/15/2000	IDEN	į.	PlidoM	J∀	Mobile County Communication District	338 V
		<u> </u>	02/28/2002	_ X _	N	I	10/10/2001	N30!	L	Macon	∀٦	Nacon County Sheriffs Office	S30 V
			1002/92/90	<u> </u>	N		01/30/5001	NEGI	\vdash	Səpuw07	٦∀	-owndes County E9-1-1	228
			05/58/5001	λ_	N		07/26/2000	IDEN	1	P P 7	٦∀	Opeilka Police Department	197
		T	02/28/2001	Α	N		07/26/2000	IDEN	1	гее	٦∀	ee County Sheriffs Office	223
			02/28/2001	7	N		07/26/2000	IDEN	ī	997	∀٦	Aubum Police Department	132
7:00/00 - database update completed in June03. PSAP inquested in early Juty03 that feat be delayed until end chuquested in early Juty05 that feat based in early Juty05. 4/17-ESRC ranges to Intrado. 4/17-ESRC ranges to Intrado. 4/17-ESRC ranges to Intrado. 4/19-ESRC put needs to Intrado.		8\56\5003		N	N		000S\4\1\10	NBO!	2	Houston	7∀	Jothan Police Department	172
			1002\02\80		N		07/14/2000	IDEN	1	Houston	٦Ÿ	Cothan Police Department	172
		I =	11/06/2001	λ	N		04/02/2001	IDEN	1	Escambia	٦V	Escambia County Sheriffs Department	871
			05/20/2003	λ	N		08/02/2002	NEO!	2	Elmore	٦¥	Wetunipka Police Department	784
			1002/41/80	λ	N		09/28/2000	IDEN	1	Elmore	٦V	Wetumpka Police Department	784
			05/22/2003	λ	N		08/02/2002	IDEN	2	Elmore	٦∀	Elmore County Sheriffs Office	9/1
			1002/41/80		N		09/28/2000	IDEN	1	Elmore	٦∀	Elmore County Sheriffs Office	9/1
			04/30/2003	7	N	<u> </u>	01/14/2003	IDEN	1	Sellea	٦∀	Selma-Dallas Communications Center	1
7/30/03 - LEC S/R upgrade & database update completed, PSAP & LEC confirmed on 7/15 another 4 wh/3-will verify upgrade status; 4/7/3-ES/K ranges to hirado; 4/9/3-CenTel router is Ph II capable but needs intended to we will verify but needs in the complete status; 4/7/3-ES/K ranges to hirado; 4/9/3-CenTel router is Ph II capable but needs hirado.	ζ'ι	8/14/2003		N	N N		Z00Z/E0/60	IDEN	z	Dale	٦∀	Osark-Dale County E9-1-1	S23
			03/06/2002	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	N		01/24/2001	N∃G!	<u> </u>	- Dale	٦¥	Ozark-Dale County E9-1-1	523
		L	11/22/2002	À	N		10/16/2002	NBQ!	1	əle□	JA	Daleville Police Department	89 L
			1002/60/10	λ	N		07/14/2000	N30!	1	Chambers	٦Ÿ	Chambers County 9-1-1	Stl
			007/90/60	λ	N		07/14/2000	IDEN	ı	Bnter	∀۲	Buttler County Emergency Communications District	142
07/30/03 - PSAP installed CAD equip in June, itest planned for 6/7/03. 04/07/03-Current CPE issue due to options being changed @ PSAP.	1,2,3	£002/70/80		N	2		04/30/2001	!DEN	2	niwbls8	∀۲	Baldwin County Emergency Communications Distri	134
			08/24/2000	À	Z		06/19/2000	DEN	1	niwbisa	. 7∀	Estavin County Emergency Communications Distr	134
]	1002/11/2001	7	Ñ	[09/27/2000	NBO!	L	egueiuA	٦∀	f-f-8 sgustuA	
Comment	enotts)i	Projected	Deployed	Deptoyed)senbey	Request	Reduest	eosheini	noisa ecad9	County	etat2		Q1
andra and a state of the control of		sisQ	a)eQ		bilisyul	**************************	A≳q eJsΩ	414	namaiqmi	AA24	ga29	ewkasa sa	9A29

		т	1 007 (77)00	1		 	L0067/70/06	Luza	т.	T 34000000	:		
	 	 	02/22/2001	<u> </u>	N N	 	02/05/5000	IDEN_	<u> </u>	Jackson		Jackson County 9-1-1	
	 	 	05/25/2002	<u> </u>	N N	 	05/27/2001	NEG!	 	səmləH		Holmes County Sheriffs Department	
	├ ──		11/06/2001	<u> </u>	N -	Į	04/05/2001	IDEN_	 	notlimeH		Hamilton County Sheriffs Office	
	}	 -	05/30/2003	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	N N	<u> </u>	15/13/2000	N3G!	<u> </u>	napspeg		Gadsden County Sheriffs Office	
	 	 	0002/61/60	 	N N	 	03/21/2000	N3O!	 z	Escamoia		Pensacola Police Department	
		 		<u> </u>	N	 	03/21/2000	IDEN IDEN	 	Escambia		Pensacola Police Department	
			06/18/2003	1	N -	 _	03/21/2000	NEO	5	Escambia		Escampia County Emergency Management	
	 	 	0007/61/60	 	N N	<u> </u>	03/21/2000	N3G!	<u> </u>	Escembia		Escambia County Emergency Management	
.co/st/or yd bafabdu	i z	0007/01/01	1002/41/80	\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	N N	ļ	11/08/2000	IDEN	1	Columbia		Columbia County 9-1-1	1
FSO/05 - PSAP expects to have extended ALI & CPE	` '	10/15/2003	Į.	N	l N	[03/04/2003	IDEN	2	Say	73	Bay County Sheriffs Department	1426
		<u> </u>	12/11/2001		N		1002/61/20	IDEN	i i	Y68	73	Bay County Sheriffs Department	1456
schedule in Apr03, after NOI FOA was successful.	7	11/8/2003		N	N	1	05/12/2003	N3Q!	7	White	AA	White County Sheriffs Department	392
thermyologo otni agA29 bebbs asrt 19N - 60/06/40	 	[0003/00/00			<u> </u>		ļ <u></u>	_		ļ	<u> </u>	-
schedule in Apr03, after NCI FOA was successful.		0003/0/0	02/30/5003	<u> </u>	N		03/14/2003	IDEN_	1	White	RA .	White County Sheriffs Department	
My30/03 - NPI has added PSAPs into deployment	b	8/5/2003		l N	N	İ	2002\12\70	NEQ!	Z	White	ЯA	Searcy Police Department	976
		†	06/13/2002		N		2002/80/10	IDEN	T.	мурие	AA	Searcy Police Department	3/9/5
schedule in Apr03, after NCI FOA was successful.	7	7/28/2003		N	N	} 	1007/40/40	!DEN	7	notenidseW		Springdale Police Department	
109/30/30 - NPI has added PSAPs into deployment	 	<u> </u>	1003:-:::	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		L	<u> </u>				l _
injecanne cau un l'action (action de la carecter)	 -		03/12/2001	<u> </u>	N		08/21/2000	NEGI	l.	notpnirtseW	ЯA	Springdale Police Department	
04/30/03 - NPI Inas added PSAPs into deployment schedule in Apr03, after NCI FOA was successful.	, ,	7/28/2003		N N	N		1002/40/40	IDEN] 2	Mashington	ЯA	Fayetteville E9-1-1	319
		†	03/15/5001	 	N	 	08/21/2000	N30!	1 -	Mashington	AA.	Fayetteville E9-1-1	BLC
schedule in Apr03, after NCI FOA was successful	7	7/28/2003		N N	N-	 	1002/40/40	IDEN	7	noteninsew	AA PA	Central EMS	
04/30/03 - NPI has added PSAPs into deployment		1555,55,2	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> 1</u>	<u> </u>
<u> </u>		<u> </u>	1002/21/20		N		08/21/2000	N∃G!	ļ.	Washington	ÃΑ	Central EMS	301
<u> </u>	L		01/22/2002	٨	N	<u></u>	1002/62/90	NEN_	ı	Sebastian	ЯA	Sebastian County Sheriffs Department	377
<u> </u>	 		01/22/2002		N	<u> </u>	1002/62/90	NEO!	ļ ļ	Sebastian	ЯA	Ft Smith Police Department	322
PSAP boundaines. 4/29/03 - NPI evaluating RFS. Site, deployed Phase I. along interstate will be reviewed with PSAP4 for possible re-routing.	ζ'τ	10/18/2003		N	N		04/21/2003	IDEN		Searcy	ЯA	Arkansas State Police	7 062
bns gnituan lies militinos at 9A29 gnitiswA - 60/06/7	 	 	1007/01/71		ļ <u>-</u> -	<u> </u>		 	<u> </u>	L		<u> </u>	 _
	 	├	12/18/2001	<u> </u>	N N	 	1002/02/80	IDEN	ļ ,	Saline	-	Saline County Central	
	<u> </u>	1007/01/1	1002/40/40	<u> </u>	N	<u> </u>	07/21/2000	NBO!	<u> </u>	Pulaski	ЯA	Sherwood Police Department	
 -	 	1/19/2004	1.003/00/1	N .	N	 	07/23/2003	IDEN	2	Pulaski		Sherwood Police Department	
		ļ	04/02/5001	<u> </u>	N N	}	08/07/2000	IDEN	1	Pulaski		Pulaski County Sheriffs Office	
	<u> </u>	 	11/16/2001	<u> </u>	N		07/21/2000	N30!	1	Pulaski	AA	Maumeile Department of Public Safety	
		 	1007/5001	<u> </u>	N	_	08/07/2000	IDEN	1	Pulaski		Little Rock Police Department	
	ļ	0000000000	1002/40/40	λ	N	<u> </u>	08/07/2000	NBO!	<u> </u>	Pulaski	AA	Jacksonville 9-1-1 Center	334
		12/29/2003		N	N		07/02/2003	IDEN_	Z	Pulaski		City of North Little Rock 9-1-1	
		<u> </u>	1007/50/50	<u> </u>	N		07/21/2000	NEO!	<u> </u>	Pulaski	ЯA	City of North Little Rock 9-1-1	
		1	1002/20/80		N		08/07/2000	NEG	ļ ,	Pope	ЯА	Pope County 9-1-1	
		1/17/2004	<u> </u>	N	N		07/21/2003	IDEN_	_ Z	Nevada		Nevada County Sheriffs Office	
		1/17/2004		N	N	<u> </u>	07/21/2003	NEGI	l l	Nevada	ЯА	Nevada County Sheriffs Office	1
			03/07/2002	, A	N		1002/02/10	IDEИ	Į.	Monroe	ЯА	Monroe County Sheriffs Department	322
4/29/03 - NPI evaluating RFS. Site, deployed Phase i, along interstate will be reviewed with PSAPs for possible re-re-received 7/20/01;	†	6002/92/60		N	N		1002/02/10	NEO!		Monroe	ЯА	Brinkley Police Department	762
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>	1002/10/90		N		12/27/2000	IDEN	I I	голоке	ЯĄ	Lonoke County Sheriffs Department	
O4/30/03 - NPI has added PSAPs into deployment schedule in Apr03, after NCI FOA was successful.	7	7129/2003		N	N		7002/60/60	ЮЕИ	2	Jefferson	ЯA	MECA-Metro Emergency Communications Associs	352
* resistant and add bakks and IGM FRANCIAN		 	03/03/50/50			 -	04/20/2001	IDEN		Jetterson	ЯА	MECA-Metro Emergency Communications Associa	700
schedule in Apr03, affer NCI FOA was successful.	7	2/30/2003	0000,00700	- ^ -	N	-	10/10/2001	DEN	2	gning2 toH	AA GA	Hot Spring County 9-1-1	1
nemyologo oini s9AS9 badde hay anto deployment		0000000	10/18/2001	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	N	:	1002/05/10	NEO!	1	Poing told	AA □^	Hot Spring County 9-1-1	
		T	11/18/2002	λ	N		01/24/2002	IDEN	-	Hempstead	ЯA	Hope Police Department	1
			11/08/2002		N T		Z00Z/\$0/90	!DEN	ı	Garland	ЯA	Hot Springs Police Department	I
			11/08/2002	Α	N		06/04/2002	DEN	1	Garland	ЯА	Canand County Sheriffs Department	1
		<u> </u>	05/07/2003		N		2002/12/80	N30!	1	Franklin	AA	Franklin County Sheriffs Department	ſ
			12/20/2001	7	N		1007/91/70		L	Esulkner	AA	Esulkner County Sheriffs Office	
	_		12/20/2001	λ	N		1002/91/20	N30!		Faulkner	ЯA	Conway Police Department	I
Comment	Slicerey	Projected	Deployed	Debloked	jsenbey.	Request Withdrawn	Reduest	interface	nobal seedq	Conuck	State		a
		ənsQ	əlisQ		bilsvol	GACS SISCO	GASG BISG	JIA	fiensalqırıl noted	qA8q	qasq		qasq

		Projected	Deployed		Reduest	Withdrawn	absM	ecemenni	करकान	Conuct	alai2		aı
1,790/03 - 1451 working with Sprint to configure 557 trunks for deployment. Test failed in May03 using FGD.	⊅ '↓	8/19/2003		N	N		01/23/2002	N3Q!	7	Ласквоп]]∃	Jackson County 9-1-1	SIG
	411		02/01/2002	٨	N	<u> </u>	10/24/2000	IDEN	l l	uoəт	73	Leon County Sheriffs Office	1
		<u> </u>	02/01/2002	٨	N		10/24/2000	N3O!	l l	reon	TH.	Tallahassee Police Department	
			02/27/2002	Α	N		07/19/2000	IDEN	1	nosibsM	ΈΓ	1-1-63 ytruoO rosibsM	
7/30/03 - 4Pl working with Sprint to configure SS7 trunks		<u> </u>	L								1		
for deployment. Test failed in May03 using FGD.	4,1	8/21/2003		N	N		02/12/2003	N3Q!	2	nosibeM		Madison County E9-1-1	
			08/15/2002	<u> </u>	N		1002/12/90	N3O!	1	Okaloosa		Okaloosa County COPSCOM	
			08/24/2000		N		04/06/2000	N∃Q!	I	Santa Rosa	73	Santa Rosa County E9-1-1	
		T	06/10/2003	λ	N		02/19/2003	IDEN	1	Wakulla	74	Wakulla County Sheriffs Department	
		 	04/23/2001		N	 	000Z/0Z/90	. IDEN	-	Mashington	1-1-1	Washington County Sheriffs Office	SSZI
N30.03 - PSAP CPE equip upgraded 6/05/03/ Deployment proceding on schedule from that date. 4-0.003 - PSAP CPE equipment is not mady to Phase II APP will resubmit request when capability is expected	Z 	12/2/2003		N	N		01/22/2002	N30!	Z	Mashington	l Tai	Washington County Sheriffs Office	12851
		C007777	01/30/2002	<u> </u>	N	 -	12/28/2000	IDEN I	<u> </u>	Bibb	∀Đ	Macon-Bibb County E9-1-1 Center	
		 	£00Z/0£/90	<u> </u>	N	 	02/12/2003	N3O!	l l	Bulloch	-	Bulloch County Public Safety	
	_ _	 	04/23/2003	1 4	N		01/16/2003	IDEN	l l	Columbia		Columbia County	
		11/17/2003		, N	N		05/21/2003	N∃Œ!	l l	Grisp	AĐ	Crisp County E9-1-1	
		11/17/2003		N	Ñ		06/21/2003	NEO!	2	GenO	₹Đ	Crisp County E9-1-1	
			1002/01/40		N		08/16/2000	IDEN	ļ.	Dougherty	∀Đ	Dougherty County	
			1002/81/60		N		06/07/2000	NEG	1	Houston		Houston County 9-1-1	
		 	12/21/2001	1	N	<u> </u>	01/08/2001	IDEN	 	997	∀Đ		
		 	02/07/2002	 	N	 	09/27/2000	IDEN	+ -	rowndes	∀9	Lowndes County 9-1-1 Emergency Management C	
		 -	0002/60/20	 	N	 	03/24/2003	NEQ!	 	McDuffie		McDuffe County McDuffe County Mthrecoree County Mthrecoree Mthrecoree Mthrecoree Mthrecoree Mthrecore Mthr	
	L	 	02/08/2001	1	N	 -	10/06/2000	IDEN I		Muscogee		Muscogee County Public Safety Communications Peach County	
	L	 	1002/81/60		N N	 	10/06/2002	IDEN IDEN	1 1	Peach Richmond		Augusta-Richmond County 9-1-1	
	<u> </u>	 	10/24/2001	1-2	N N	 	1002/92/40	NEGI	1	TisbA		Adair County Sheriffs Office	
	ļ	 	10/24/2001	 	N	<u> </u>	1007/52/5001	N3Q!	1 !	Benton		Benton County Sheriffs Office	
"		 -	10/15/2001	 	N Al	 	1002/92/40	IDEN	 	Black Hawk		Black Hawk County Sheriffs Office	
	ļ	 	2002/20/90	^	N	<u> </u>	10/01/2001	IDEN .	١.	Boone		Boone County Sheriffs Office	
		 	2002/02/90	۸	N	ļ	04/26/2001	N3Oi	L	Bremer		Bremer County-Waverly Law Center	
		ļ	10/15/2001	_ ^ _	N		04/26/2001	IDEN	l l	Buchanan	1	Buchanan-Independence Public Safety Center-Co	1
			05/31/2002	7	N		04/26/2001	IDEN	l l	Cass	ΑI	Cass County Public Safety Communications Cente	1911
			01/29/2002		N		1002/92/40	IDEN	1	Cedar	ΑI	Cedar County Sheriffs Office	
			2002/82/90		N		10/11/5001	IDEN	1	Cerro Gordo		Cerro Gordo County Sheriff	
		 	2002/82/90	 	N		10/11/2001	NEO!	1	Cerro Gordo		Mason City Police Department	
		† 	10/26/2001	 	N	 	04/26/2001	IDEN	+-	Clarke		Clarke County Sheriffs Office	
		 -	7002/20/77	 	N	 -	1007/26/2001	IDEN I	+	Clinton		Clinton County Sheriffs Office	
	-	 	11/05/5003	 ^	N N	 	04/26/2001	IDEN IDEN	1	Decatur		Dallas County Sheriffs Office Decatur County Sheriffs Office	1
	<u>-</u> -	-	10/34/3001	 	N	ļ	1007/97/70	IDEN I	1	Delaware		Manchester Police Department	
	!	 	11/05/5001	 	N	<u> </u>	1007/97/10	N30!	 	Des Moines		Burlington Police Department	
		ļ	10/24/2001	 	N N		04/26/2001	!DEN	<u> </u>	Dubuque		Dubuque County 9-1-1 Center	1
	 	 	02/30/5003	<u> </u>	N	<u> </u>	01/01/2003	IDEN	1	Rayette	+	Syette County Sheriffs Office	
		ļ <u>.</u>	02/50/2002	<u> </u>	N	<u> </u>	1002/60/01	NEO!	L	Floyd		Charles City Police Department	
			11/23/2001	٨	N		1002/92/40	IDEN	ļ.	Franklin	ΥI	Hampton Police Department	
			02/10/2003	٨	N		10/31/2002	IDEN	l l	Guthrie	ΑĬ	County Sheriffs Office	
			2002/82/90	Å	N		04/26/2001	DEN.	1	Hamilton	ΑI	Webster City Police Department	2026
			05/31/2002	٨	N	 	1002/92/40	IDEN	ı	Harrison	I ∀I	Harrison County Sheriffs Office	19261
			03/31/2003	٨	N	 	01/28/2003	DEN	ı	Henry	I VI	Henry County Sheriffs Office	
			11/23/2001	 	N N	 	1002/32/40	N3G!	+ + +	SWO		owa County Sheriffs Office	
			0000/00/30		N N	 	0012/2001		 	geckson	_ ``	Maquoketa Police Department	
			05/28/2002	 	N	 	04/26/2001	DEN	+ -	19appeon		Jasper County Sheriffs Office	
	 	 	02/21/2002		N N	 	04/26/2001		1	nosndol	<u> </u>	Johnson County Sheriffs Office	
			10/26/2001	1 1	TN		1007/97/50	DEN	т -	sauor	, AI	Jones County Sheriffs Office	claudi

321.021.022						Date PSAP	Date PSAP						
PSAP	PSAP Name	PSAP	PSAP	implemen tation	Alc	Request	Request	invalid	Deployed	Date	Date	Ressons	Comment
ID.		State	County	Phase	Interface	Made	Withdrawn	Request		Deployed	Projected		
1946	Ft Madison Police Department	ΙA	Lee	1	iDEN	01/29/2003		N	Y	06/26/2003			
	Cedar Rapids Joint Communications Agency	IA	Linn	1	iDEN	09/25/2001	<u> </u>	N	Ý	05/21/2002			
	Linn County Sheriffs Office	IA	Linn		iDEN	09/25/2001		N	Ÿ	05/21/2002			
	Marion Police Department	IA	Linn	1	iDEN	09/25/2001	<u> </u>	N	Ÿ	05/21/2002			
$\overline{}$	Louisa County Sheriffs Office	IA	Louisa		iDEN	04/26/2001		N	Y Y	09/17/2002			
	Lyon County Sheriffs Office	IA	Lyon	1	iDEN	09/13/2001	<u> </u>	N	Ÿ	07/16/2002		-	
	Madison County Sheriffs Office	IA	Madison		iDEN	04/26/2001	 _	N	- ·	05/24/2002	t	 -	
	Mahaska County E9-1-1 Center-Oskaloosa	IA	Mahaska	1	iDEN	09/23/2002	 -	N	Ý	04/10/2003		-	
$\overline{}$	Marion County Sheriffs Office	IA	Marion	1	IDEN	09/09/2002		N N	Y	01/31/2003			
2000	Pella Police Department	IA	Marion	1	iDEN	04/26/2001		N	Ÿ	07/17/2002			
	Marshalltown Police Department	IA	Marshall	1	iDEN	10/09/2001		N	Y	05/28/2002			
$\overline{}$	Mills County Communications Center-Glenwood	IA	Mills	1	iDEN	04/26/2001		N	Y	11/02/2001			
	Monona County Sheriffs Office	IA.	Monona	1	iDEN	04/26/2001	-	N	Ý	07/16/2002			
	Muscatine County Sheriffs Office	IA	Muscatine	1	iDEN	04/26/2001	<u> </u>	N	Y	11/02/2001	 		 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Plymouth County Sheriffs Office	IA	Plymouth	1	iDEN	04/26/2001		N	Ÿ	07/16/2002			
	Ankeny Police Department	IA.	Polk	1	iDEN	04/26/2001	-	N	Y	11/02/2001			
	Des Moines Police Department	IA	Polk	1	iDEN	04/26/2001		N	Y	11/23/2001	 		
	Polk County Sheriffs Office	IA	Polk	1	iDEN	04/26/2001		N	Y	05/29/2002			
_	WestCom	IA	Polk	1	iDEN	04/26/2001		N	Ý	05/29/2002	 		
	Pottawattamie County Law Enforcement 9-1-1 Cen	1A	Pottawattamie	1	iDEN	04/26/2001			Y	11/23/2001			
	Poweshiek County Sheriffs Office	IA	Poweshiek	1	IDEN	04/26/2001		N	Ÿ	10/24/2001			
	Bettendorf Police Department	ΙA	Scott	1	iDEN	04/26/2001	-	N	Y	05/17/2002			
	Davenport Police Department	IA	Scott	1	iDEN	04/26/2001		N	Y	05/17/2002			
2009	Scott County Sheriffs Office	IA	Scott	1	iDEN	04/26/2001		 	Y	05/17/2002			
	Sioux County Sheriffs Office	IA	Sioux	1	iDEN	04/26/2001			Ÿ	07/16/2002			
	Arnes Police Department	IA	Story	1	iDEN	04/26/2001		N	Y	05/28/2002			
	Ames Police Department	IA	Story	1	iDEN	04/26/2001		 N	Y	05/28/2002			
	Tarna County Sheriffs Office	iA i	Tama	1	iDEN	02/20/2003		N	Ϋ́	06/30/2003	<u> </u>		
	Warren County Sheriffs Office	ĪΑ	Warren	1	IDEN	04/26/2001	-	N	- ·	05/30/2002	<u> </u>		
	Washington County Sheriffs Office	IA	Washington	1	iDEN	04/26/2001		N	Ÿ	05/21/2002			
	Webster County Law Enforcement Center-Ft Dodg-	IA	Webster	1	IDEN	10/01/2001		N	Y	06/07/2002			
	Woodbury County Communications	IA	Woodbury	1	iDEN	04/26/2001		N	Y	07/16/2002			
2032	Worth County Sheriffs Office	IA	Worth	1	iDEN	09/27/2001		N	Y	06/07/2002			
	Ada County Sheriffs Department	OI	Ada	1	iDEN	04/06/2001		N	Y	07/16/2002			
2034	Ada County Sheriffs Department	D	Ada	2	iDEN	07/28/2000		N	Y	04/09/2003			
									· · · · ·	1			4/28/03 - NPI can only offers CAS on Phase I, PSAP can
2175	Clark County 9-1-1	IL	Clark	1	IDEN	11/19/2001		Y	N			2.4	only support NCAS. RFS received 11/19/01
	Oran County 3-1-1	- "-	Oldik		IDEI	11/13/2001			N N			2, 4	4/30/03 - PSAP equip not capable to support PH (data.
2470	Salas Count 50 44		ا ا	_	-DE41	44/00/000							PSAP will notify NPI when they are ready to proceed.
21/9	Coles County E9-1-1	IL	Coles	1	iDEN	11/02/2000	<u> </u>	Υ	N	 		2	RFS recv 11/02/00
2189	Cumberland County E9-1-1	IL	Cumberland	1	IDEN	01/05/2001		N	Y	04/26/2002			
			3,000		155.1	0130020071			 '	04/20/2002		-	7/30/03 - New RFS recy in May 2003. PSAP confirmed
	•												August deployment date. 4/30/03 - NPI awaiting
				- 1									notification of equip upgrade from PSAP, 3/21/02- Verizon wireless database not loaded wireline was in
				· \		\ '		ľ		}			error 5/10/02-test failed Vzn trouble w/trunks 5/13/02-test
g542	Dewitt County Sheriffs Office		 De Marie	_	IDEN	05/07/0000		,			201071222		failed PSAP consulting vendor as to why they aren't rec
			De Witt	1	IDEN	05/07/2003		N N	N_	05/02/222	08/07/2003		CB#.
	Douglas County Sheriffs Department Edgar County Sheriffs Department	_	Douglas	1	iDEN	05/29/2001		N	Υ	05/28/2003	40/04/0000		
	Edgar County Sheriff Department Effingham County Sheriff		Edgar	1	IDEN	05/05/2003		N N	N N	07/45/2002	10/01/2003		Do we have a new RFS letter?
$\overline{}$	Effingham Police Department		Effingham_		IDEN IDEN	12/13/2000		<u>N</u>	<u>Y</u>	07/15/2002	ļ 		
	Ford County 9-1-1		Effingham	1	iDEN_	12/13/2000		N	Y	07/15/2002	 -		
	Canton Police Department		Ford	1	IDEN	05/08/2001		- N	Y	05/09/2002	L		
1310	Cariton Police Department	- IL	Fulton	1_	<u>iDEN</u>	12/06/2000		<u> </u>	Y	04/12/2002			Zinovina DOAD
!	l	, [ţ	ļ				-		·	1		7/30/03 - PSAP awaiting software and equipment to be installed, projecting 9/1/03 completion. Implement PH II
$\overline{}$	Canton Police Department		Fulton	2	iDEN	06/13/2002		N	N		10/31/2003	2	by 10/31/03.
2230	Fulton County Sheriff	IL	Fulton	1	iDEN_	12/06/2000		N	Υ	04/12/2002			

.

				le constant		Date PSAP	D-44 D040		Carlo Programo				I o iliana karana k
PSAP ID	PSAP Name	PSAP		implemen tation	Alr	Request	Date PSAP Request	Invalid	Deployed	Date	Date	Passans	Comment
		State	County	Phase	Interface	Made	Withdrawn	Request		Deployed	Projected		
													7/30/03 - PSAP awaiting software and equipment to be
2230	Fulton County Sheriff	IL	Fulton	2	iDEN	06/13/2002		N	N		10/31/2003	2	installed, projecting August03 completion. Implement PH By 10/31/03.
1957	Henry County Sheriffs Office	IL	Henry	1	iDEN	07/17/2000		N	- <u>'\</u> -	02/07/2002	10/01/2003		R by 10/31/03.
													7/30/03 - PSAP equipment not capable of handling 20
2283	Jo Daviess County Sheriff	<u>IL</u>	Jo Daviess	1	iDEN	04/09/2001	1	Ιγ	N				digits. Letter sent March 2002 stating RFS invalid
2231	Galesburg-Knox County Emergency Telephone Sy	IL	Knox	1	iDEN	12/06/2000		N	Y	06/12/2003		 	
2231	Galesburg-Knox County Emergency Telephone Sy	IL	Knox	2	iDEN	12/06/2000		N_	Υ	06/12/2003			
				ļ									4/28/03 - NPI can only offers CAS on Phase I. PSAP can
2310	Liv Com 9-1-1	ĬĹ	Livingston	1	iDEN	12/06/2000		Υ	N			2,4	only support NCAS, RFS received 12/06/00
ĺ													7/30/03 - Nextel Partners is working with PSAP and
								ľ					neighboring county (LaSaile) to determine call routing fo individual site. Site resides within Nextel
234	Marshalt County Sheriffs Office	ŧL	Marshall	,	IDEN	04/12/2001		N	N		00/26/2002		Communications licensed territory, PSAP will be
				<u> </u>	IDEII	04/12/2001			I N		09/26/2003	4	deployed PH I & II together. 7/30/03 - Nextel Partners is working with PSAP and
					!								neighboring county (LaSalle) to determine call routing for
													individual site. Site resides within Nextel Communications licensed territory, PSAP will be
	Marshall County Sheriffs Office	<u>IL</u>	Marshall	2	iDEN	04/12/2001	_	N_	N	<u> </u>	09/26/2003	4	deployed PH I & if together.
	Mercer County E9-1-1 Mercer County E9-1-1	11	Mercer	1	iDEN	06/16/2003		N	N_	<u> </u>	12/13/2003		7/30/03 - NPI will deploy Phase I & II together.
	Jacksonville Police Department	IL	Mercer Morgan	2	iDEN iDEN	06/16/2003	7	N .	N	00/04/0000	12/13/2003		7/30/03 - NPi will deploy Phase I & II together.
	Morgan County Sheriffs Office	IL.	Morgan	1	iDEN	03/02/2001		N N	Y	08/01/2002			
	Bartonville Police Department	<u>;-</u> _	Peoria	1	iDEN	07/28/2000		- N	Y	08/01/2002 01/10/2002	- -	-	
	Bartonville Police Department	-iL	Peoria	2	iDEN	07/28/2000		-N	Y	01/17/2003	-		
2171	Chillicothe Police	IL	Peoria	1	iDEN	07/28/2000		N	Ÿ	01/10/2002	-		
2171	Chiflicothe Police	īL	Peoria	2	iDEN	07/28/2000		N	Y	01/17/2003	-		
	Peoria ECC	ĮĹ	Peoria	1	iDEN	07/28/2000		N	Y	01/10/2002			-
	Peoria ECC	<u>IL</u>	Peoria	2	iDEN	07/28/2000		N	Y	01/17/2003			
	Peoria Heights Police Department	ΙĹ	Peoria	1	iDEN	07/28/2000		N	Y	01/10/2002			
	Peoria Heights Police Department		Peoria	_ 2	iDEN	07/28/2000		N	Y	01/17/2003			
	9-1-1 Centre Communications 9-1-1 Centre Communications	IL_	Rock Island	1	iDEN	11/16/2000		N	Y	04/23/2003			
	Rock Island County Sheriff		Rock Island Rock Island	_ 2	IDEN	11/16/2000		N	<u>Y</u>	04/23/2003	,	.	
$\overline{}$	Rock Island County Sheriff		Rock Island	2	iDEN iDEN	11/16/2000 11/16/2000		N	Y	04/24/2003			
	Rock Island Police Department		Rock Island	1	IDEN	11/16/2000		N N	<u>Y</u> Y	04/24/2003			
	Rock Island Police Department	IL.	Rock Island	2	iDEN	11/16/2000		N	- <u>†</u> -	04/22/2003			<u> </u>
	Silvis Police Department	IL	Rock Island	1	iDEN	11/16/2000		N	Y	04/22/2003			
2430	Silvis Police Department	IL	Rock Island	2	iDEN	11/16/2000		<u>:</u>	Y	04/22/2003			
	Sangamon County Central Dispatch System	IL	Sangamon	1	iDEN	10/19/2000		N	Υ	04/05/2002	-		
2421	Sangamon County Central Dispatch System	IL_	Sangamon	2	iDEN	01/13/2003		N	Υ	05/13/2003			
- 1				1									7/30/03 - Connectivity between two third party providers
-		ļ	1	ļ.		ļ		1	1	l			are causing failures at Nextel GMLC. Multiple tests have
2206	East Peoria Police Department	IL	Tazewell	1	iDEN	08/09/2000					40/04/0000		failed. TCS & Intrado working the interface issue, 4/30/03 - PSAP & LEC confirmed equipment now ready.
	- serie, enco a oparanora	-"-	Tazewell	_ '	IDEN	06/09/2000		N	N N		10/24/2003	1, 2, 4, 6	
		ļ		ļ				ĺ					7/30/03 - Connectivity between two third party providers are causing failures at Nextel GMLC. Multiple tests have
		ļ		ł	ļ	ļ					l		failed. TCS & intrado working the interface issue, 4/30/03
2206	East Peoria Police Department	<u> </u>	Tazewell	2	iDEN	08/09/2000		N.	N		10/24/2003	1, 2, 4, 6	- PSAP & LEC confirmed equipment now ready.
		1		1									7/30/03 - Connectivity between two third party providers
l				1		ŀ							are causing failures at Nextel GMLC. Multiple tests have failed. TCS & Intrado working the interface issue. 4/30/03
2348	Morton Police Department	IL.	Tazewell	1	iDEN	08/09/2000	ĺ	N	N		10/24/2003	1, 2, 4, 6	- PSAP & LEC confirmed equipment now ready.
T		$\neg \neg$						''			1012712003		7,70,100
ŀ			[l			i		7/30/03 - Connectivity between two third party providers are causing failures at Nextel GMLC. Multiple tests have
2348	Morton Police Department		T			00/00/000			. 1				failed. TCS & Intrado working the interface issue, 4/30/03 - PSAP & LEC confirmed equipment now ready.
2340 M	MONOR Folice Department	<u>IL</u>	Tazewell	2	iDEN	08/09/2000		N	N I		10/24/2003	1, 2, 4, 6	- Flazi & LEO continueu equipment now ready.

PSAP IO	PAP Name	PSAI State		implementation Phase	Air Interface	Date PSAP Request Made	Date PSAP Request Withdrawn	Invalid Request	Deployed	Date Deployed	Date Projected	Reasons	Comment
2455	Tazcomm-Tazewell County Communications Cent	IL.	Tazewell	1	iDEN	08/09/2000		N	N		10/24/2003	1, 2, 4, 6	7/30/03 - Connectivity between two third party provide are causing failures at Nextel GMLC. Multiple tests he failed. TCS & intrado working the interface issue, 4/30 - PSAP & LEC confirmed equipment now ready.
2455	Tazcomm-Tazewell County Communications Cente	IL.	Tazewell	2	iDEN	08/09/2000		N	N_		10/24/2003	1, 2, 4, 6	7/30/03 - Connectivity between two third party provide are causing failures at Nextel GMLC. Multiple tests he failed. TCS & Intrado working the interface issue. 4/30 - PSAP & LEC confirmed equipment now ready.
2478	Washington Police Department	IL	Tazewell	1	iDEN	08/09/2000		N	N		10/24/2003		7/30/03 - Connectivity between two third party provide are causing failures at Nextel GMLC. Multiple tests he failed, TCS & Intrado working the interface issue, 4/30
2478	Washington Police Department	IL	Tazewell	2	iDEN	08/09/2000		Ŋ	N		10/24/2003	1, 2, 4, 6	7/30/03 - Connectivity between two third party provide are causing failures at Nextel GMLC. Multiple tests he failed. TCS & Intrado working the interface issue. 4/30 - PSAP & LEC confirmed equipment now ready.
2189	Danville-Vermilion County Communications Center	_IL	Vermilion	1	iDEN	10/02/2000		Υ Υ	N_			,	7/30/03 - Invalid letter sent in Mar 02. PSAP still work with LEC to complete treunk issues. Awaiting new RF letter. We will work Phase I & II together
2190	Danville-Vermilion County Communications Center	IL	Vermilion	2	iDEN	10/03/2000	<u> </u>	Υ	N			<u> </u>	7/30/03 - Invalid letter sent in Mar 02. PSAP still work with LEC to complete treunk issues. Awaiting new RF letter. We will work Phase i & II together
2489	Whiteside County Sheriff	IL	Whiteside	1	iDEN	11/29/2000		Υ	N			2, 1	7/30/03 - Invalid letter sent in Feb 02. PSAP still work: with LEC to complete AL! display issues. Awaiting new RFS letter.
	Woodcom Clark County E9-1-1	IL IN	Woodford Clark	1	IDEN	11/17/2000		Y	N				7/30/03 - Invalid letter to be sent Aug 03, PSAP equipment not installed to process Phase II calls, We require new RFS letter to proceed.
	Clay County E9-1-1 Office		Clay	_1	iDEN	04/30/2003		N	N		10/27/2003		7/30/03 - PSAP submitted new RFS letter.
_	Clay County E9-1-1 Office		Clay	1	IDEN	02/22/2002		N	Y	04/16/2003			
_	Crawford County 9-1-1		Crawford	2	iDEN_	02/22/2002		N.	ΥΥ	04/16/2003			-
	Crawford County 9-1-1	$\overline{}$	Crawford	2	IDEN	04/16/2001		N	Y	05/10/2002			
			Crawioiu		IDEN	04/25/2003		N	Y	07/14/2003			<u> </u>
	Daviess County Sheriffs Department		Daviess	1	iDEN	01/03/2002		Y	N			2	7/30/03 - Invalid letter sent Feb 02, PSAP equipment
2544	DuBois County Communications Center	IN_	Dubois	1	iDEN	10/27/2000		N	Y	05/17/2002			eqpected to be ready by end of 2003.
2544	DuBois County Communications Center	IN	Dubois	2	iDEN	12/10/2002		N	N	331112002	10/24/2003	_	7/30/03 - Awaiting PSAP to confirm mapping software place in order to proceed with Phase II. Nextel Partner is ready to proceed.
	ountain County Regional Dispatch Center	IN	Fountain	1	iDEN	10/05/2000		Y	N	į			7/30/03 - PSAP equipment has not been ordered. Inval letter sent to PSAP Feb 02. 4/30/03 Ameritech venfied PSAP equip will be ready by mid-summer. RFS recv da changed to Apr 01. Plan to deploy Phase I by Sep 26. 2/27/02-PSAP equip not ready. Orig RFS recv 10/05/05/
	Sibson County Sheriffs Department	JN	Gibson	1	iDEN	08/13/2002	· ·	N	$\overline{}$	12/20/2002	 	2	
	Sibson County Sheriffs Department		Gibson	2	iDEN	07/09/2002		N	$\overline{}$	04/30/2003	-		- <u>-</u>
	Greene County Sheriff Greene County Sheriff		Greene	1		03/31/2003		N	N		9/27/2003		
-304 G	seene county sheriff	_IN	Greene	_ 2	iDEN	03/31/2003		N	N		9/27/2003		
2583 In	idiana State Police-Seymour	IN .	Jackson	1_	iden	07/09/2002		N	N		8/18/2003	 - - 	7/30/03 - PSAP equipment installed in June / July 03. Proceeding with deployment. PSAP will deploy Phase I I together 4/30/03 - NPI planning to deploy based on equipment being upgraded. PSAP must wait until Riple bounty updates their equip (as they share router) before /ZN can change their screen displays to display Phase nfc. Ripley to update by Feb 2003
2583 In	diana State Police-Seymour	IZ J	lackson	2	iDEN (07/09/2002		N	, N		8/18/2003	F II e c V	/30/03 - PSAP equipment installed in June / July 03, Proceeding with deployment. PSAP will deploy Phase 1 together 4/30/03 - NPI planning to deploy based on quipment being upgraded. PSAP must wait until Ripley ounty updates their equip (as they share router) before ZN can change their screen displays to display Phase foc. Ripley to update by Feb 2003
	nox County Central Dispatch												

.

Kd. Reserve Comment				7/30003 - PSAP equipment installed and will only accept NCAS. Nextel Partners can deploy only Phase I CAS. Invalid letter wilb be sent in Aug 03. 4.40003 Ameribech verified PSAP equip will be ready by fall 2003 RFS recv. 24. 227702-PSAP equip not ready. Ong RFS recv. 2, 4. 9007701		N	i i					13	33					13		4/28/03 - NPI can only offer CAS for Phase I, PSAP can only support NCAS. No deployment planned at theis fine.	WHE.	43003 - NPI recy orig PH I RFS in Aug01. We have been unable to deploy CAS. PSAP has submitted RFS for PH II (NCAS) in Apr03. NPI will deploy both PH IBII by Octo3.	4/30/03 - NPI recy ong PH I RFS in Augūt. We have been unable to deploy CAS. PSAP has submitted RFS for PH II (NCAS) in Apr03 NPI will deploy both PH I&II		7/30/03 - Nextel Partners will deploy Phase I & II		together.						7/30/03 - AIITel achised that they were ready to support Phase I leasting this past week. Deployment scheduled for Augots 4/30/03 - NPI wanting Alleli interface resolution. We excect to have a resolution in May n?
se Date yed Projected	2003	2003	2003		8/15/2003	8/15/2003	<u> </u>	003	000	003	500	12/23/2003	12/23/2003	003	003	2002	200	08/29/2003	-		302	10/04/2003	10,004,0003	+-	12/08/2003	12/08/2002	+-	03	02	02	03	20 02	2000/00/8
Depoise Deployed	06/11/2003						05/14/2002	Í	7	05/27/2003	03/27/2003	35		01/17/2003	01/17/2003	12/13/2002	01/17/2002		12/20/2001		01/28/2002			05/09/2002			05/14/2002	03/17/2003	01/24/2002	01/23/2002	06/19/2003	06/10/2002	
Page 1	Z	2 2	-	, z	z	z	Z	-		>	+	-		1	> ; z z	2 2	-		z			z	- z	<u> </u>	z	2	<u> </u>		≻	+	> > z z		2
Date PSAP Request Withdrawn																						-								1	+		
Date PSAP Request Made	03/21/2003	01/08/2003	01/08/2003	08/07/2001	11/07/2001	11/07/2001	01/22/2001	11/05/2001	10/03/2001	10/03/2002	06/01/2000	06/26/2003	06/26/2003	07/03/2002	07/03/2002	07/03/2002	01/04/2001	03/03/2003	12/13/2000	06/20/2001	12/01/2000	04/07/2003	04/07/2003	01/31/2002	06/11/2003	06/11/2003	01/29/2002	09/26/2002	11/14/2000	12/18/2000	12/18/2000	11/14/2000	12/18/2001
a y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y	iDEN	IDEN	iDEN	iDEN	iDEN	ÖËN	DEN	DEN DEN	S C	DEN	DEN	iDEN	DEN	DEN	S C	DEN	iDEN	iDEN	DEN		DEN	iDEN	ÜËN		IDEN	ÖEN		T	7	DEN	T	†=	DEN
	2	L	y 2	-	-	2	-	2		- 2		-	2	, 1	4 -	2	+	-	-	-	-	2	_	-	-	2	-	-	-	-,	-		2
PSAP County	Knox	Montgomery	\Box	Posey	Putnam	Putnam	Scott	Scott	Sullivan	Sullivan	Vanderburgh	Vermillion	Vermillion	Vigo	obi/	Vigo	Warrick	Allen	Anderson	Вател	Bourbon	Boyd	Boyd	Boyle	Carter	Carter	Carter	Christian	Clark	Daviess	Daviess	Fayette	Fayette
₹ ¶	Z.	<u>≅</u>	2	2	2	Z	z 2	Z 3	Z	┖	<u>N</u>	z	<u>z</u>	2 2	Z	Z	Z	₹	≩	₹	≩	₹	<u>\$</u> ₹	⋩	₹	Κ	₹	ַ לַ	≥	⋩	ķ	₹	\$
PSAP ID	2598 Knox County Central Dispatch	2343 Montgomery County 9-1-1	2343 Montgamery County 9-1-1	2649 Posey County RDC	2653 Putnam County 9-1-1	2653 Putram County 9-1-1	2650 con County Emergency Communications	2667 Spencer County Sheriffs Office	2674 Sullivan County 9-1-1	2674 Sulivan County 9-1-1	2551 Evansville-Vanderburgh County Central Dispatch	2682 Vermillion County Sheriffs Office	2584 Indiana State Dalice Torre Land	2584 Indiana State Police-Terre Haute	2677 Terre Haute Police Department	2677 Terre Haute Police Department	2686 Warrick Central Dispatch	2861 Alten County Police Department	Zado Lawrenceburg Police Department	2868 Barren-Metcalle E9-1-1	3009 Paris-Bourbon County E9-1-1	3018 Regional Public Safety Communications Center Bd	3018 Regional Public Safety Communications Center-Bo	2898 Danville-Boyle County 9-1-1 Center	2889 Carter County 9-1-1	2889 Carter County 9-1-1	2942 Kentucky State Police Post 14-Ashland	2930 Hopkinsville-Christian County EOC	2539 Dayler Court Short	2539 Daviess County Sheriffs Department	3006 Owensboro Police Department	2971 Lexington-Fayette UCG-Division of Police	2971 Lexington, Fayette UCG-Division of Police

PSAP ID	PSAP Name	PSAP State	PSAP County	Implemen tation	Air Interface	Date PSAP Request	Date PSAP Request	invalid Request	Deployed	Date	Date	Reasons	Comment:
		y.a.u	COUNTRY	Phase	menard	Made	Withdrawn	vedinasi		Deployed	Projected		
2962	Lancaster Garrard 9-1-1	KY	Garrard	1	iDEN	11/20/2002		Y	N				7/30/03 - Additional tests tried & failed during last quarter. Invalid letter will be issued in August and PSAP will submit new RFS when system reqdy. 4/30/03 - KSP currently taking calls, 2/26-test failed no records loaded in database also issue with Lexington Main trunks. 3/19/03-per PSAP equip ready and trunks. 4/3/03-test failed psap cpe not accepting the 20 digits-psap only getting 0's.
	Hancock County E9-1-1	KY	Hancock	1	IDEN	01/14/2002			Υ	04/16/2002			
	Hardin County 9-1-1	KY	Hardin	1	iDEN	11/05/2001		N	N	0-7/10/2002	9/3/2003		
$\overline{}$	Kentucky State Police Post 4-Elizabethtown	KY	Hardin	1	iDEN	08/24/2001		N	Y	12/20/2001	0.0.2000		
	Kentucky State Police Post 10-Harlan	KY	Harlan	1	iDEN	01/30/2003		N N	Y	05/01/2003			
2897	Cynthiana-Harrison County E9-1-1	KY	Harrison	1	iDEN	12/26/2001		N	Υ	02/08/2002			
2927	Henderson Police Department	KY	Henderson	1	iDEN	01/23/2001		N	Y	02/01/2002			
2949	Kentucky State Police Post 5-Campbellsburg	KY	Henry	1	iDEN	08/24/2001		N	Y	02/01/2002			1
2932	Jefferson County Police-Fire-EMS Communication	KY	Jefferson	1	iDEN	06/10/2000		N	Υ	12/07/2000			
2932	Jefferson County Police-Fire-EMS Communication	KY	Jefferson	2	iDEN	06/27/2001		N	N		8/20/2003		
2978	Louisville-Jefferson County Emergency Operations	ΚY	Jefferson	1	iDEN	06/10/2000		N	Υ	12/07/2000		_	
2978	Louisville-Jefferson County Emergency Operations	KY	Jefferson	2_	iDEN	06/27/2001		N	N		8/1/2003		
2963	Larue County Dispatch	ΚΥ	Larue	1	iDEN	01/15/2003		Υ	N	-			
2939	Kentucky State Police Post 11-London	KY	Laurel	1_	iDEN	08/24/2001		N	Υ	02/08/2002			
2964	Laurel County E9-1-1	ĶΥ	Laurel	1	iDEN	11/16/2000		N	Υ	06/23/2003			
2974	Logan County Emergency Operations Center	KY	Logan	1_1	iDEN	08/27/2002		N	Υ	01/27/2003			
2951	Kentucky State Police Post 7-Richmond	ΚY	Madison	11	iDEN	01/29/2002		N	Υ	05/07/2002			
1572	Madison County E9-1-1	KY	Madison	1	iDEN	12/19/2000		N_	Y	02/05/2002			
2922	Harrodsburg Police Department	KY	Mercer	1_	IDEN	01/21/2003		N	N		8/6/2003		
3004	Oldham County Central Dispatch	ΚY	Oldham	11	IDEN	11/22/2000		N	<u>Y</u>	12/20/2001			
	Pulaski County 9-1-1	ΚΥ	Pulaski	11	iDEN	06/25/2002		Y	<u>N_</u>			2	7/30/03 - PSAP determined they do not have proper equipment and software to support CAS Phase I solution. Invalid letter to be sent in Aug03. PSAP will resubmit RFS when applicable.
2952	Kentucky State Police Post 8-Morehead	KY	Rowan	1 1	iDEN	01/29/2002	<u> </u>	N	Y	05/13/2002			
2994	Morehead Police Department	ΚΥ	Rowan	11	iDEN	11/30/2001		N	N		8/19/2002		7/30/03 - Alitel and PSAP advised in July that they are now ready to proceed with deployment. NPI will deploy Phase i & II together. 4/30/03 - NPI awaiting Alltel interface resolution. We expect to have a resolution in May 03. 7/30/03 - Alltel and PSAP advised in July that they are now ready to proceed with deployment. NPI will deploy
	Morehead Police Department		Rowan	2	IDEN	11/30/2001		_ N _	N		8/19/2003		Phase I & II together, 4/30/03 - NPI awaiting Alltel interface resolution. We expect to have a resolution in May 03.
	Kentucky State Police Post 12-Frankfort	KY	Scott, Spencer	1	IDEN	08/24/2001		N	Y	01/31/2002			
	Shelby County E9-1-1	KY	Shelby	1_	IDEN	12/11/2000		N_	Y	01/31/2002			<u> </u>
	Todd County Emergency Services	KY_	Todd	1	IDEN	05/21/2003		N	N.		11/17/2003		
	Bowling Green Police Department	<u>KY</u>	Warren	1 .	IDEN	06/14/2000		Ņ	<u>Y</u>	02/07/2002			7/30/03 - PSAP confirmed equipment not installed to support PH II. NPI will set up new RFS date with PSAP to ensure deployment as soon as possible. 4/30/03 - NPI
	Bowling Green Police Department		Warren i	2	IDEN	06/14/2000		Y	N	ļi		2	awaiting confirmation PSAPhas upgraded equipment.
	Kentucky State Police Post 3-Bowling Green	ĶΥ	Warren	1	IDEN	08/24/2001		N	Y	02/06/2002			
	Springfield-Washington County E9-1-1	ΚY	Washington	1	iDEN_	11/21/2000		N	Y	01/28/2002			
	Webster County 9-1-1	ΚY	Webster	1	IDEN	10/20/2000		N	Y	02/05/2002			
	Whitley County E9-1-1	_KY	Whitley	1	iDEN	01/14/2002		N	Y	05/13/2002			
	Versailles Police Department	KY	Woodford	1	iDE <u>N</u>	07/31/2002		N	_ Y	02/27/2003			
	Bienville Parish Sheriffs Office	<u>L</u> A	BIENVILLE	1	iDEN	07/24/2000		N_	ΥΥ	03/12/2001			
	Bossier Parish Communication District	LA	Bossier	1	iDEN	06/07/2000	<u> </u>	N	Y	10/12/2000			<u> </u>
	Caddo Parish Communications District	LA	Caddo	1	iDEN	06/07/2000		N	Y	02/12/2001			
	Calcasieu Parish Communication District	LĄ	Calcasieu	1	iDEN	06/07/2000		N	Y	01/19/2001			
	Lafayette Parish Communication District	<u>LA</u>	Lafayette	1	iDEN	06/07/2000		N	Y	01/08/2001			
	Lafayette Parish Communication District	LA	Lafayette	2	iDEN	02/01/2001	ļ	N	Y	04/29/2003			ļ
	Lincoln Parish Sheriffs Office	<u>L</u> A	Lincoln	1	iDEN	10/25/2000		N	Y	01/09/2002			
3117	Ruston Police Department	LA	Lincoln	1]	iDEN	10/25/2000		N	Y	01/09/2002			

7/30/03 - Need to schedule deployments with State based on Nextel Partners Phase II solution. State will not accept NCAS solution without text data. Phase II were not previously scheduled until Phase I completed.	2,4	11/21/2003		N	N		1005/11/500	!DEN	5	Freeborn	NW	Eleeborn County Law Enforcement Center	3631
			2002/82/70	Ĭ.	N	 	1002/11/40	NBQ!	į.	Freeborn	NW	Freeborn County Law Enforcement Center	1696
7/30/03 - Need to schedule deployments with State pased on Nextel Panters II solution. State will not secept NGAS solution without text data. Phase II were not previously scheduled until Phase I completed.	₹ 7	11/21/2003		N	N		1002/11/100	IDEN	Z	selpuod		Douglas County Sheriffs Office	
			02/25/2003		N		04/11/2001	DEN	1	selbnog	NW	Douglas County Sheriffs Office	1/211
7/30/03 - Need to schedule deployments with State based on Nextel Pathers Phase II solution. State will not accept NGAS solution without text data Phase II were not previously scheduled until Phase I completed.	2,4	11/21/2003		N	N		1005/11/40	IDEN	Z	Crow Wing		Crow Wing County Sheriffs Office	1
		8/15/2003		Ň	N		1002/11/70	NBO!	 	Crow Wing	NW	Crow Wing County Sheriffs Office	0796
7/30/03 - Need to schedule deployments with State based on Nextel Partners Phase II solution. State with not secept NGAS solution without text data. Phase II were not previously scheduled until Phase I completed.	2,4	11/21/2003		N	N		1005/11/40		2	Clay		Clay County Sheriff	
	<u> </u>	8/15/2003		N	N	1	04/11/2001	NBO!	 	Clay	NW	Clay County Sheriff	000
7/30/03 - Need to schedule deployments with State based on Nextel Partners Phase It solution. State will not accept NGAS solution without text data. Phase II were not previously scheduled until Phase I completed.	₽'Z	11/21/2003		N	N		1002/11/40	IDEN	2	sseO		Cass County Sheriffs Office	
			2002/62/90		N		1002/11/2001	IDEN	1	Cass	NW	Cass County Sheriffs Office	GZGZ
7/30/03 - Need to schedule deploynents with State based on Nextel Partners Phase II solution. State will not sceept NASA solution without lext data. Phase II were sceept NASA scheduled until Phase I completed.	2,4	11/51/5003		N	N		1002/11/40	IDEN	2	Cartton	1	Carlion County Sheriffs Office	
		<u> </u>	07/02/2002		N	\vdash	1002/11/5001	IDEN	+	Cartton	NW	Carlton County Sheriffs Office	OLSE
7/30/03 - Need to schedule deployments with State based on Nextel Panners Phase II solution. State will not accept NGAS solution without text data. Phase II were not previously scheduled until Phase I completed.	2,4	11/21/2003		N	N		1002/11/50	IDEN	2	Brown	NW	Brown County Sheriffs Office	7515
		1	05/20/2002		N	\vdash	1002/11/5001	NEQ!	+	Brown	NW	Brown County Sheriffs Office	/ELZ
71/20/03 - Weed to schedule deployments with State will not based on Wextel Panners Phase II solution. State will not accept MCAS solution without text data. Phase II were not previously scheduled until Phase i completed.	2,4	11/21/2003		N	N		1007/11/70	IDEN	2	Blue Earth		CHEXIVEM-LOTES ESPECIALISM	
			09/12/2002		N		04/11/2001	DEN	1	Blue Earth	NM	Minnesota State Patrol-Mankato	9298
7/30/03 - Need to schedule deployments with State will not bested on Nextel Partners Phase II solution. State will not accept NCAS solution without text data. Phase II were not previously scheduled until Phase I completed.	2' و	11/21/2003		N	N		1002/11/40	!DEM	2	Blue Earth	NM	Bine Earth County Emergency Services	3098
			09/12/2002	λ	N		1002/11/500	IDEN	ı	Bine Earth	NW	Blue Earth County Emergency Services	3092
7/30/03 - Weed to schedule deployments with State will not asset on Nextel Partners Phase It solution. State will not accept WCAS solution without text data. Phase II were not previously scheduled until Phase I completed.	2, 4	11/21/2003	_	N	N		1007/11/70	IDEN	2	Вескег	NW	Becker County Sheriffs Office	
			07/24/2002	λ	N		1002/11/70	IDEN	1	Вескег	NW	Secker County Sheriffs Office	3600
			01/11/2002	Α	N		02/08/2001	NEO!	1	Webster	Α1	Webster Parish Police Department	
			12/17/2001	λ	N		07/12/2001	NBO!	l l	Neimilion	A1	Vermillon Parish Communications District	ι
			10/18/2000	٨	N		02/15/2000	IDEN	ı	St. Martin	∀ī	St Martin Parish Sheriffs Office	1
			03/25/2002	Α	N		11/29/2000	NBO!	L	St. Landry	\A_	St Landry Parish 9-1-1	3128
			1002/81/40		N		10/04/2000	IDEИ	_ l	Ouachita	Ψī	Ouachita Parish 9-1-1 Communications District	3104
4/30/03 - RFS received 10/31/00; frunks ordered Dec. "Of and then cencelled - PSAP CPE not E811 capable; 10/15/01-VPI requested new RFS be submitted when Parish is ready to move forward with deployment.	2			N	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		10/23/2000	IDEN		Madison	ΑŢ	Madison Pansh Sheriff	₹60€
		namafair	madawlass			именриним	absM			oli utoriana		an et en Republikation et en east de les	in of the
Comment	Keszous	Date Projected	Date Deployed	Deployed	bilevril Request	GASS etsG teeupes	18enbea	niA ecetheini	nothal seading	DSVb Conuth	SPECE SPECE	emain exce	9A29 GI

Date			8/15/2003 2, 4 Inchiperously scheduled until Phase Lompleted.	8/15/2003		11/21/2003 2,4	7/30/03 - Need to schedule deployments with State based on Nextel Panners Phase I soution State will not accept NCAS solution without lext data. Phase II were	11/21/2003 2, 4	7/30/03 - Need to schedule deployments with State based on Newton Panters Phase it solution. State will not accept NOAS solution without text date Phase it were not previously scheduled until Phase I completed.			7,77,7003 2,4	7/3003 - Need to schedule deployments with State based on Nextle Pathers Phase II solution. State will not accept NCAS solution without text data. Phase II were not previously scheduled until Phase II commissed	, ,	7/30/03 - Need to schedule deployments with State based on Nexte Partners Phase il soution. State will not accept NCAS solution without text data. Phase II were not previously scheduled until Phase I completed.		7/30/03 - Need to schedule deployments with State based on Nextel Partners Phase II solution. State will not accept NCAS solution without text data. Phase II were not previously scheduled until Phase I completed.		accept NCAS solution without text data. Phase II were not previously scheduled until Phase I sometime.			11/21/2003 2,4	11/21/2003 2,	11/21/2003 2,4	11/21/2003 2,4
			-			06/20/2002		0000000000	26040	10/03/2002		01/09/2003	_	05/22/2002	2002/02/02/0	ne/zo/zo(200	7002/12/00					11/15/2002	11/15/2002	11/15/2002
			z	z		>		z >	z	>	z 	>	z -	>	z >	-	z >	-	z	z	z		>	> z	> z >
	120-11		z	z	<u> </u>	z		zz	z	z	z	z	z	z	zz	2	z z		z	z	z		2	z z	z z z
																							†		
Date PSAP		04/11/2001	04/11/2001	04/11/2001	04/11/2001	04/09/2002	05/02/2002	10/02/2002	10/02/2002	1002/11/2001	04/11/2001	04/11/2001	04/11/2001	04/11/2001	04/11/2001		04/11/2001		04/11/2001	04/11/2001	04/11/2001		1007/11/5001	04/11/2001	04/11/2001
		DEN	DEN	IDEN	ÖEN	iDEN	DEN	DEN	ÖEN		DEN	DEN			DEN		iDEN (DEN	\dagger	DEN		\dagger		
	And	2	-		27	-	2	-	77 -		77	-	2 -	-	2 -		7 -	*****	2 -	+	2/		-	-	
Pssap		Grant	Grant		Grant	Itasca	Itasca	Lake	Lake Mower		Mower) James	Nicollet Olmsted		Olmsted Otter Tail		Otter Tail Pine	<u>.</u>	+	-	uis			uis	viis viis
PSAP			NE NE	$\overline{}$		MA MA		MN	MN (La		MN MO		MN Nig	$\overline{}$	MN Offe		MN Otter MN Pine		MN Rock		MN Rock MN St. Louis				
- Company of the Comp		2563 Grant County Sheriffs Office 2563 Grant County Sheriffs Office	3673 Minnesota State Patrol-Detroit Lakes		3673 Minnesota State Patrol-Detroit Lakes 3643 liasca Cornin Sherife Office	Part of the second	3643 lasca County Sherifs Office	Lake County Shent's Office	1192 Lake County Sheriffs Office 3886 Mower County Law Enforcement Center		3686 Mower County Law Enforcement Center 3689 Nicollet County Sheriffs Office		3689 Moollet County Sheriffs Office 3684 Olimsled County Law Enforcement Center		3694 Oinsted County Law Enforcement Center 3695 Otter Tail County Sheriffs Office		3695 Otter Tail County Sheriffs Office 3698 Pline County Sheriffs Office	8099	3710 Rock County Sheriffs Office		3710 Rock County Sheriffs Office 3716 St Louis County Emergency Communications-Duty	ı	I		

				impiemen		Date PSAP	Date PSAP						
PSAP ID	PSAP Nume	PSAP State	PSAP County	tation Phase	Alr Interface	Request Made	Request Withdrawn	Invalid Request	Deployed	Date Deployed	Date Projected	Reasons	Comment
3726	Todd County Sheriffs Office	MN	Todd	1	iDEN	04/11/2001		N	Υ	02/05/2003			A THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT
3726	Todd County Sheriffs Office	MN	Todd	2	iDEN	04/11/2001			l NI		11/21/2002		7/30/03 - Need to schedule deployments with State based on Nextel Partners Phase II solution. State will no accept NCAS solution without text data. Phase II were not previously scheduled until Phase I completed.
	Wadena County Sheriffs Office	MN	Wadena	1	iDEN	04/11/2001		N	N Y	07/24/2002	11/21/2003	2,4	
	Wadena County Sheriffs Office	MN	Wadena	2	iDEN	04/11/2001			N	07/24/2002	11/21/2003		7/30/03 - Need to schedule deployments with State based on Nextel Partners Phase II solution. State will nacept NCAS solution without text data. Phase II were not previously scheduled until Phase I completed.
	Wilkin County Law Enforcement Center	MN	Wilkin	1	iDEN	04/11/2001		N	Y	05/23/2002	11/21/2003	2, 4	
	Wilkin County Law Enforcement Center	MN	Wilkin	2	iDEN	04/11/2001		N	N	03/23/2002	11/21/2003	2, 4	7/30/03 - Need to schedule deployments with State based on Nextel Partners Phase II solution, State wilf no accept NCAS solution without text data. Phase II were not previously scheduled until Phase I completed.
3738	Winona County Sheriffs Office	MN	Winona	1	iDEN	04/11/2001	T	N	Y	04/03/2003			
2728	Winona County Sheriffs Office				:554	04/11/2001					44/04/0000		7/30/03 - Need to schedule deployments with State based on Nextel Partners Phase II solution, State will no accept NCAS solution without text data. Phase II were not previously scheduled until Phase I completed.
	Copiah County	MN	Winona Copìah	2	iDEN iDEN	10/17/2000		N N	N	40/04/2004	11/21/2003	2,4	
	Covington County Sheriff	MS	Covington	1 1	iDEN	05/15/2001	 	N N	Ÿ	10/24/2001 01/28/2002			<u> </u>
								- 		01/28/2002			7/30/03 - Invalid letter to be sent to PSAP in August03. 4/30/03 - PSAP CPE equipment is not ready for Phase I PSAP will resubmit request when capability is expected
	Covington County Sheriff	MS_	Covington	2	iDEN	05/09/2001		Υ	N	ļ		2	Tr 3Ar will resubmit request when capability is expected
3330	Forrest County	MS_	Forrest	1	iDEN	10/12/2000		N	Y	12/13/2000			7/30/03 - PSAP confirmed equipment not ready to deplo Phase II.Invalid letter to be sent to PSAP in Aug03. NPI
3998	Forrest County	мѕ	Forrest	2	iDEN	10/12/2000		Y	N N			2	is readty to deploy Phase II when valid RFS received.
	Hattiesburg Police Department		Forrest	1 1	IDEN	10/12/2000		N	Y	05/14/2001			
4040												_	7/30/03 - PSAP confirmed equipment not ready to deplot Phase II. Invalid letter to be sent to PSAP in Aug03. NPI is ready to deploy Phase II when valid RFS received.
	Hattiesburg Police Department		Forrest	2	IDEN	10/12/2000		Y	N	}		2	is ready to deploy mase if when valid RFS received.
	Bay St Louis Police Department	MS_	Hancock	1_1_	iDEN	06/19/2000	 -	. N	Y	07/12/2001			
	Hancock County Sheriffs Office Biloxi Police Department	MS MS	Hancock	1	iDEN IDEN	06/19/2000		N N	Υ	07/12/2001			
	Gulfport Police Department	MS	Harrison Harrison	1	iDEN iDEN	06/20/2000		N N	Y	11/12/2001	 		
	Harrison County Sheriffs Office	MS	Harrison	1	iDEN	06/20/2000	 	N N	Y Y	11/12/2001		,	
	Long Beach Police Department	MS	Harrison	1	IDEN	06/20/2000		N N		11/12/2001			
4070	Pass Christian Police Department	MS	Harrison	1	iDEN	03/01/2003		N	N	1111272001	8/30/2003		7/31/03: Initial request recv in June 00. No sites built in area. New site planned in 2003, constr in progress, deploy Phase I
	Clinton Police Department	MS	Hinds	1	iDEN	10/30/2000		N	Y	01/04/2001			
	Hinds County Sheriffs Department	MS	Hinds	_1_	IDEN	10/30/2000		N.	Y	01/04/2001			
	Jackson Police Department	$\overline{}$	Hinds	1_	IDEN	10/30/2000		N	Y	01/04/2001			
4001	Gautier Police Department	MS_	Jackson	_1_	iden	04/24/2000		N	Y	02/02/2001			
	Gautier Police Department	MS	Jackson	2	iDEN	04/04/2001		N	N_		09/26/2003	2	4/30/03 - NPI tried unsuccessful test on 4/25/03. PSAP call routing and Phase II software is not in place. NPI w work with PSAP to establish new dates
	Jackson County Sheriff's Office-Main	MS	Jackson	1	iDEN	04/24/2000		N	_Y	02/02/2001			
$\overline{}$	Jackson County Sheriff's Office-Main	MS	Jackson	2	iDEN	04/04/2001		N	Y	06/12/2003			
	Moss Point Police Department	MS	Jackson _	1	iDEN	04/24/2000		N	Y	02/02/2001			4/30/03 - NPI tried unsuccessful test on 4/25/03. PSAP call routing and Phase II software is not in place. NPI w work with PSAP to establish new dates
-	Moss Point Police Department	MS	Jackson	2	IDEN	04/04/2001		N	N .		09/26/2003	2	WOLVE WITH OUR IN CONTROL IN CONT
	Pascagoula Police Department Pascagoula Police Department		Jackson Jackson	2	iden iden	04/24/2000 04/04/2001		N N	Y N	02/02/2001	09/26/2003	2	4/30/03 - NPI tried unsuccessful test on 4/25/03. PSAP call routing and Phase II software is not in place. NPI wi work with PSAP to establish new dates

.

PSAP ID	PSAP Name	PSAF State		implemen tation Phase	Air Interface	Date PSAP Request Made	Date PSAP Request Withdrawn	Invalid Request	Deployed	Date Deployed	Date Projected	Reasons	Comment
1970	Jones County Sheriffs Office	MS	Jones	1	iDEN	A	animica ana						
		 	<u> </u>		I IDCIA	11/09/2000	 	N.	Y	01/15/2002	:	<u> </u>	
13/0	Jones County Sheriffs Office	MS	Jones	2	iDEN	11/01/2000		Y	N	<u> </u>	1	2	7/30/03 - PSAP has not selected equipment as of ye NPI will issue invalid letter to PSAPin Aug03.
4037	Laurel Police Department	MS	Jones	1	iDEN	11/09/2000		N	N		09/26/2003	4	4/30/03 - Site located within PSAP boundary currentl routed Phase I to County Emer Comm. NPI evaluating possible re-route of one site to this PD.
			1							į į			4/28/03 - Site located within PSAP boundary currently
4037	Laurel Police Department	мѕ	Jones	2	:DCN	44/04/0000		i					routed Phase I to County Emer Comm. NPI evaluating possible re-route of one site to this PD. Site will be
	Lamar County Sheriffs Office	MS	Lamar	1	IDEN IDEN	09/27/2000	 -	N	N	ļ	09/26/2003	4	deployed Phase II, if valid
4022	Lamar County Sheriffs Office	1				09/2//2000		N .	Y	07/12/2001	 		
	Lauderdale County E9-1-1 Center	MS	Lamar	2	IDEN	09/27/2000		Y	N _.		<u> </u>	2	7/30/03 - PSAP has not selected equipment as of yel NPI will issue invalid letter to PSAPin Aug03.
	Canton Police Department	MS	Lauderdale	1	iDEN	09/27/2000		Ņ	Υ	05/16/2001			The state of the s
	Madison County Sheriffs Office	MS	Madison Madison	1 1	iDEN	10/18/2000		N _	Y	02/19/2003			
	Madison Police Department	MS	Madison	1	iDEN	10/18/2000		N	Y	08/31/2002			
	Ridgeland Police Department	MS	Madison	1	IDEN	10/18/2000		N	Y	09/17/2001			
	Neshoba County 9-1-1	MS	Neshoba	1	IDEN	10/18/2000		N	Υ_	09/17/2001			
$\overline{}$	Pearl River County Sheriffs Department	MS	Pearl River		iDEN iDEN	02/21/2002	<u> </u>	N.	Υ	08/09/2002			
	Pearl River County Sheriffs Department	MS	Pearl River		iDEN	08/01/2000	06/06/2001	N	Y	01/29/2001	<u> </u>		
\neg		1	52.11(176)	-	IDEN	08/01/2000	00/06/2001	Y	N	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
]	·						7/30/03 - New site being constructed and deployed to
4077	Picayune Police Department	MS	Pearl River	1	iDEN	03/03/2003		N			0,0,0000		this PSAP. No sites previousit routed to PSAP. New son-air by mid-August. RFS receive date set 6 months
3977	Brandon Police Department	MS	Rankin	1	iDEN	12/06/2000		N N	N	00/04/0004	8/6/2003		prior to on-air.
3997	lowood Police Department	MS	Rankin	1	iDEN	12/06/2000	-	N	Y	09/24/2001	<u> </u>		
	Pearl Police Department	MS	Rankin	1	iDEN	12/06/2000		$\frac{1}{N}$		09/24/2001			
$\overline{}$	Rankin County Sheriffs Office	MS	Rankin	1	iDEN	12/06/2000		 N		09/24/2001		·	
_	Richland Police Department	MS	Rankin	1	iDEN	12/06/2000		N	<u>'</u>	09/24/2001			
	Simpson County Sheriffs Office	MS	Simpson	1	iDEN	05/04/2001		N	Ÿ	12/21/2001			
	stone County 9-1-1	_	Stone	1	iDEN	06/05/2001		N	Ÿ	12/07/2001			
4107	ricksburg-Warren E9-1-1 Communications Center		Warren	1	iDEN	10/17/2000		N	Y	05/08/2001	-		
	argo Police Department		Cass	_ 1	iDEN	07/01/2003		N			12/28/2003		
	Vest Fargo Police Department Frand Forks County PSAP	ND	Cass	1_	iDEN	07/01/2003		N	N		12/28/2003		
$\overline{}$	raili County Sheriffs Office	ND	Grand Forks	_1	IDEN	07/01/2003		N	N		12/28/2003		
7070[1	Talli County Sherins Office	ND	Traill	1	iDEN	07/01/2003		N	N		12/28/2003		<u> </u>
4580 D	akota County Communications	NE	Dakota	1	iDEN	01/25/2002		N	N		09/26/2003	1	7/30/03 - NPI can not complete deployment until deactivated phones transmit correct digits. NPI workin- with Motorola and QWEST to resolve. Expect software patch in next 4 - 6 weeks.
730 F	remont Police Department	NE	Dodge	1	iDEN	08/31/2001		N	N		09/26/2003		7/30/03 - NPI can not complete deployment until deactivated phones transmit correct digits. NPI working with Motorola and QWEST to resolve. Expect software patch in next 4 - 6 weeks.
						•							7/30/03 - NPI can not complete deployment until
4587	ouglas County 9-1-1 Communications Center	ا ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		_ 1			1			ļ		- 19	deactivated phones transmit correct digits. NPI working
-501 10	pograd County 9-1-1 Communications Center	NE	Douglas	_1	iDEN	04/10/2001		N	N_		09/26/2003	_ 4	with Motorola and QWEST to resolve. Expect software patch in next 4 - 6 weeks.
1599 G	rand Island-Hall County	NE I	Hall	1	:DEN	14/00/0004			1				7/30/03 - NPI can not complete deployment until deactivated phones transmit correct digits. NPI working with Motorola and QWEST to resolve. Expect software
T			rull	'- +	iDEN	11/28/2001		Ņ	N		09/26/2003	4 ,	patch in next 4 - 6 weeks.
2569 H	amilton County Sheriff	NE I	Hamilton	1	iDEN	11/01/2001		N_	N		09/26/2003	ļ¢ V	7/30/03 - NPI can not complete deployment until leactivated phones transmit correct digits. NPI working with Motorola and QWEST to resolve. Expect software latch in next 4 - 6 weeks.
1									T			7	730/03 - NPI can not complete deployment until
1626 M	errick County Sheriff	NE !	Merrick	1	iDEN	05/09/2002	_,	N	N		09/26/2003	ļo V	leactivated phones transmit correct digits. NP! working with Motorola and QWEST to resolve. Expect software latch in next 4 - 6 weeks.
1648 Sa	rpy County 9-1-1	NE S	Sarpy	1	iDEN	04/10/2001		N	N		09/26/2003	la V	/30/03 - NPI can not complete deployment until eactivated phones transmit correct digits. NPI working rith Motorola and GWEST to resolve. Expect software atch in next 4 - 6 weeks.
283 W	ashington County Sheriff	NE V	Vashington	1	iDEN	07/18/2001		N	N		09/26/2003	7 .d	300/03 - NPI can not complete deployment until eactivated phones transmit correct digits. NPI working rith Motorola and QWEST to resolve. Expect software atch in next 4 - 6 weeks.

Adul						Date PSAP	new pean						
PSAP	PSAP Name	PSAP State	PSAP County	Implemen tation	Air Interface	Request	Request	Invalid	Deployed	Date	Date	Reasons	Comment
		State		Phase	interrace	Made	Withdrawn	Request		Deployed	Projected		nication is an a facility of the state of th
5141	New York State Police-Albany	NY	Albany	1	iDEN	10/18/2002		N	Y	04/24/2003			
5141	New York State Police-Albany	NY	Albany	2	IDEN	10/18/2002	L	N N	Y	04/24/2003			
-	Cattaraugus County Sheriffs Office	NY	Cattaraugus	1 1	iDEN	05/25/2001		N_	Y	02/14/2002		L	
5048	Cattaraugus County Sheriffs Office	NY	Cattaraugus	2	IDEN	06/10/2002		N N	Y	10/14/2002			
	Cayuga County Sheriffs Office	t	Cayuga	1_1	iDEN	07/08/2003		Y	N			2	7/30/03 - PSAP is not 20 digit capable and will request Phase II service when system upgrade complete.
2711	Chautauqua County Sheriffs Office	NY	Chautauqua	1	IDEN	06/08/2001		N	Υ	05/20/2002			
2711	Chautauqua County Sheriffs Office	NY	Chautauqua	2	IDEN	12/13/2002		Y	N			2	4/28/03 - PSAP CPE aquipment is not ready for Phase II. PSAP will resubmit request when capability is expected.
5056	Clinton County Primary Safety Answering Point	NY	Clinton	1	iDEN	05/21/2002		Y	N				4/28/03 - PSAP wants NCAS do not want to pay Vzn to have router software upgraded to support CAS. RFS recv 05/21/02
5061	Cortland County Sheriffs Department	NY	Cortiand	1	ìDEN	06/07/2001		Y	N			2, 4	4/28/03 - NPt can only offers CAS on Phase I, PSAP is not 20 digit capable. RFS received 6/07/01
5082	Fulton County Sheriffs Office	NY	Fulton_	1	IDEN	02/05/2001		Y	N				4/28/03 - NPI can only offers CAS on Phase I. PSAP/LEC can only support NCAS, RFS received 2/05/01
5084	Genesee County Sheriffs Office	NY	Genesee	1	IDEN	04/19/2001		N	Y	02/15/2002			
	Genesee County Sheriffs Office	NY	Genesee	2	iDEN	09/05/2002		N	Y	06/06/2003			
5102	Herkimer County Sheriff	NY	Herkimer	_1	IDEN	04/09/2003		N	N		10/6/2003		7/30/03 - NPI wil deploy Phase I & II together
	Herkimer County Sheriff	NY	Herkimer	2	iDEN	04/09/2003		N	N		10/6/2003		7/30/03 - NPI wil deploy Phase I & II together
	Livingston County 9-1-1 Center	NY	Livingston	1	IDEN	07/14/2003		N	Ŋ		1/10/2004		7/30/03 - NPI wil deploy Phase i & II together
511/	Livingston County 9-1-1 Center	NY	Livingston	2	iDEN	07/14/2003		N.	N		1/10/2004		7/30/03 - NPI wil deploy Phase I & II together
243	Montgomery County Sheriffs Office	NY	Montgomery	1_	iDEN	05/03/2001		Y	N N				4/28/03 - NPI can only offers CAS on Phase I. PSAP/LEC can only support NCAS. RFS received 05/01/01
5152	Niagara County Sheriffs Department	NY	Niagara	1	iDEN	10/22/2002		_ Y	N			2, <u>4</u>	4/28/03 - NPI can only offers CAS on Phase I. PSAP/LEC can only support NCAS. RFS received 10/22/02
5163	Oneida County Sheriffs Office	NY	Oneida	1_1_	iDEN	04/2 <u>3</u> /2003		_ Y	N			2, 4	4/28/03 - NPI can only offers CAS on Phase I. PSAP/LEC can only support NCAS. RFS received 4/23/03
	Onondaga County Department of Emergency Com		Onondaga	1	iDEN	05/02/2001		N	Y	07/01/2003			
	Onondaga County Department of Emergency Com		Onondaga	2	iDEN	05/02/2001		N	Y	07/01/2003			
-	Ontario County 9-1-1 Center	_	Ontario	1	iDEN	07/25/2003		N	N	<u> </u>	1/21/2004		7/30/03 - NPI will deploy Phase I & II together.
	Ontario County 9-1-1 Center		Ontario	2	iDEN	07/25/2003		N	N		1/21/2004		7/30/03 - NPI will deploy Phase I & II together.
51/0	Orleans County Sheriffs Office	NY	Orleans	1	iDEN	11/14/2002		N	Y	05/28/2003			
5215	Tioga County 9-1-1	NΥ	Tioga	1_ 1	IDEN	04/17/2002	. }	Υ	N		}		4/28/03 - NPI can only offers CAS on Phase I, PSAP is not 20 digit capable. RFS received 4/17/02
5223	Warren County Sheriff	NY	Warren	1	iDEN	03/20/2002		N	Υ Υ	11/01/2002			
5223	Warren County Sheriff	NY	Warren	2	iDEN	01/22/2003		N	N		09/26/2003	2,4	7/30/03 - NPI is working with PSAP to resolve rebid issue.
-	Yates County Sheriffs Office	NY	Yates	1_1_	iDEN	08/26/2002		Y	N				4/28/03 - NPI can only offers CAS on Phase I. PSAP/LEC can only support NCAS. RFS received 08/26/02
58/8	Crawford County Office of Emergency Services	PA_	Crawford Crawford	1	iDEN	03/28/2002		N	Y	09/27/2002			
000	City of Harrisburg PSAP	PΑ	Dauphin_	1	iDEN	11/21/2002		Υ	N				4/28/03 - NPI can only offers CAS on Phase I. PSAP is not 20 digit capable. RFS received 11/21/02
000	Dauphin County PSAP	PA	Dauphin	1	iDEN	11/21/2002			N				4/28/03 - NPI can only offers CAS on Phase I, PSAP is not 20 digit capable. RFS received 11/21/02
-	Erie County 9-1-1 Center	$\overline{}$	Erie	1	IDEN	04/16/2001		N	Υ	05/23/2002			
	Erie County 9-1-1 Center		Erie	2	IDEN	04/11/2001]	N	Υ	12/18/2002			
5900	ancaster County-Wide Communications	PA	<u>Lancaster</u>	_1	IDEN	11/16/2001		N	Y	08/14/2002			
5906	ycoming County Department of Public Safety	PA	Lycoming	1_1	iDEN	09/27 <u>/</u> 2001		Y	N		<u> </u>		4/30/03 - PSAP CPE equipment is not ready for Phase II. PSAP will resubmit request when capability is expected.
	ycoming County Department of Public Safety		Lycoming	2	iDEN	09/27/2001		_ Y	N	į			4/30/03 - PSAP CPE equipment is not ready for Phase II. PSAP will resubmit request when capability is expected.
	Pike County 9-1-1 Center	$\overline{}$	Pike	1	iDEN	11/30/2001		N	Y	01/02/2003			
	Pike County 9-1-1 Center		Pike	2	iDEN	01/30/2003		N	N		8/11/2003		
	York County 9-1-1	$\overline{}$	York	1	IDEN	04/19/2001		N	Y	05/28/2002			
5940	York County 9-1-1	PA	York		iDEN	04/10/2001	<u>_</u> \	N	Υ	01/30/2003	<u>_</u> <u>l</u>		

-

PSAP	PSAP Nums	PSAP	(implemen tation	Air	Date PSAP Request	Date PSAP Request	Invalid	Deployed	Date	Date	Reasons	
ID.	eta di editorio di editorio di esperante di constanti di constanti di constanti di constanti di constanti di c	State	County	Pluss	Interface	Made	Withdrawn	Request		Deployed	Projected		Comment
	Minnehaha Metro Communications	SD	Minnehaha	1	iDEN	12/05/2001		N	N		8/6/2003	1, 2	Covest WID box will not be installed end of January should be ready beginning of Feb 2/4/03-wid box still not installed and qwest has not provided install date 3/10-per qwest box to be installed by eo March. 4/2/03-trunks should be in by mid april
2889		TN	Carter	1	IDEN	07/10/2001		N	Y	02/11/2002			
2889		TN	Carter	2	iDEN	12/28/2001		N	Υ	06/25/2003			
	Greene County Emergency Communications	TN	Greene	<u> </u>	iDEN	07/10/2001	L	N	Y	01/15/2002	ļ		
	Greene County Emergency Communications	TN	Greene	2	iDEN	12/28/2001		N	Υ	05/20/2003		<u> </u>	
	Hawkins County 9-1-1	TN	Hawkins	1	iDEN	07/10/2001		N	Y	01/29/2002		<u> </u>	
6267	Hawkins County 9-1-1	TN	Hawkins	2	iDEN	12/28/2001		N	Υ	06/17/2003		ļ	
6215	Bristol Emergency Communications District	ŢΝ	Sulfivan	_1_	IDEN	03/03/2003	i 	N	N	ļ	8/18/2003	<u> </u>	7/30/03 - New site being added for this deployment. PSAP will be deployed Phase I & II together.
	Bristol Emergency Communications District	TN	Sullivan	2	iDEN	03/03/2003		N	N_		8/18/2003		7/30/03 - New site being added for this deployment. PSAP will be deployed Phase I & II together.
0284	Kingsport 9-1-1	_TN	Sullivan	11	iDEN_	07/10/2001	 	N	Y	02/06/2002		<u> </u>	
6284	Kingsport 9-1-1	TN	Sullivan	2	iDEN	12/28/2001		N	N		08/29/2003	2	7/30/03 - NPI waiting for equipment upgrade to be completed in order to commence testing.
2674	Sullivan County 9-1-1	TN	Sullivan	1	iDEN	07/10/2001		N	Y	02/06/2002			completed in deed to commence against.
2674	Sullivan County 9-1-1	TN	Sullivan	2	iDEN	12/28/2001		N	Y	06/24/2003			
6371	Unicoi County 9-1-1	TN	Unicoi	1	iDEN	07/10/2001		N	Y	02/11/2002			
6371	Unicoi County 9-1-1	TN	Unicoi	2	iDEN	12/28/2001		Y	N			2	7/30/03 - PSAP does not have proper equipment in place to deploy Phase II. invalid letter will be sent to PSAP in Aug03.
	Washington County Emergency Communications	TN	Washington	1	iDEN	07/10/2001		N	Y	01/30/2002			
	Washington County Emergency Communications	TN	Washington	2	iDEN	12/28/2001		N	Y	05/22/2003			
6403	Andrews County Sheriffs Office	TX	Andrews	11	iDEN	08/21/2001		_ N	Υ	08/05/2002			
6406	Aransas County Sheriffs Office	TX	Aransas	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	z		09/26/2003	4	4/29/03 - Site located within PSAP boundary currently routed Phase (to another PSAP. NPI evaluating possible re-route of one site to this County SO.
3914	Rockport Police Department	TX	Aransas	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	07/23/2001	00:20:200	<u> </u>	
6431	Beeville Police Department	TX	Вее	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	07/23/2001			
6432	Bell County Communications Center	TX	Bell	1	iDEN	08/16/2000	-	N	Y	09/18/2002			
6440	Bi-State Information Center	_TX	Bowie	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Υ	05/31/2002		_	
6799	New Boston Police Department	TX	Bowie	11	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	07/30/2002			
6450	Brazos County ECD Office	TX	Brazos	1	iDEN	11/06/2000		N	Y	07/11/2002		_	
6456	Brooks County Sheriffs Office	TX	Brooks	11	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Υ	11/26/2002			
1468	Calhoun County Sheriffs Department	_Tx	Calhoun	1	iden	07/13/2001		Y	2			2	05.16.02- PSAP MSAG/LEC database verification required, 08.01.02-test call with database LEC and SR LEC-no process in place to this path; 08/12/02-process agreed upon; 10/21/02-SR LEC and Intrado approved MSAG; 12/02/02-database LEC approved MSAG; 01/23
	Port Lavaca Police Department	TX	Calhoun	1	IDEN	07/13/2001		N	2		09/26/2003	4	4/29/03-NPI to re-evaluate call routing of site TX370P and route to this PSAP, because the County SD invalid request.
6469	Callahan County Sheriffs Office		Callahan _	1	IDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	05/15/2002			
6460	Brownsville Police Department		Cameron	1_	IDEN	06/11/2001		N_	Y	06/19/2002			
	Cameron County Sheriffs Office	TX	Cameron	_1	iDEN	06/11/2001		N	Y	06/19/2002			
6632	Harlingen Police Department	_TX	Cameron	1	iDEN	06/11/2001		N.	Y	06/19/2002		<u> </u>	
6705	.a Feria Police Department	<u>T</u> X	Cameron	1	IDEN	06/11/2001		_ N	N		09/26/2003	44	4/26/03 - NPI re-evaluating call routing and will notify PSAP shortly if change to be made. No Sites Currently Anticipated Routing to this PSAP; RFS received 06/11/01;
	os Fresnos Police Department		Cameron	_11	iden	06/11/2001		N N	N		09/26/2003	4.	4/26/03 - NPI re-evaluating call routing and will notify PSAP shortly if change to be made. No Sites Currently Anticipated Routing to this PSAP: RFS received 06/11/01;
	Port Isabel Police Department	-	Cameron	11	iDEN	06/11/2001		N	Y	06/19/2002			
	San Benito Police Department		Cameron	1	<u>iDEN</u>	06/11/2001		N	Υ Υ	06/19/2003			
	South Padre Island Police Department		Cameron	1	<u> iDEN</u>	06/11/2001		N	N		12/19/2003		
0414	Atlanta Police Department	TX	Cass	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	09/16/2002			

PSAP ID	PSAP Name	PSAP State	PSAP County	implemen tation Phase	Air Interface	Date PSAP Request Made	Date PSAP Request Withdrawn	Invalid Request	Deployed	Date Deployed	Date Projected	Reasons	Comment
1918	Cherokee County Sheriffs Office	тх	Cherokee	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N_	N		09/26/2003	4	4/28/03 - NPI re-evaluating call routing and will notify PSAP shortly if change to be made. No Sites Anticipated routing to this PSAP; Orig COG RFS recty 08/16/00;
2279	Jacksonville Police Department	TX	Cherokee	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	08/22/2002			
eee4	East Coke County Nursing Home	тх	Coke		iDEN	08/21/2001			, ,		09/26/2003		4/28/03 - NPI re-evaluating call routing and will notify PSAP shortly if change to be made. No Sites Currently Anticipated Routing to this PSAP; RFS received
	Robert Lee Nursing Home	- '	Coke	1-1-	IDEN	08/21/2001	 	N N	N Y	06/24/2002	09/20/2003	4	08/21/01;
		_				08/21/2001				06/24/2002	00/26/2002		4/28/03 - NPI re-evaluating call routing and will notify PSAP shortly if change to be made. No Sites Currently Anticipated Routing to this PSAP; RFS received
_	West Coke County Nursing Home Copperas Cove Police Department	TX TX	Coke Coryell	1 1	IDEN IDEN	08/16/2000	 	N N	N Y	04/30/2003	09/26/2003	4	08/21/01;
6605	Gatesville Police Department	TX	Coryell	 	IDEN	08/16/2000		N	- ' -	12/20/2001			
-	Crane Police Department	TX	Crane	1	iDEN	08/21/2001	 	N	Y	08/05/2002			
6716	Lamesa Police Department	TX	Dawson	1	iDEN	08/21/2001	 	N	Y	07/16/2002			
_	Duval County Sheriffs Office	TX	Duval	1	iDEN	08/16/2000	 	N	Y	04/04/2003			
	Freer Police Department	TX	Duval	 	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	05/14/2003			
	Eastland County Central Dispatch	TX	Eastland	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	05/15/2002			
_	Odessa Communications Center	TX	Ector	1	iDEN	01/11/2001		N	Y	09/28/2001			<u> </u>
189	Franklin County Sheriffs Office	TX	Franklin	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Υ	05/21/2002			
6589	Frio County Sheriffs Office	Ţχ	Frio	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	12/11/2001			
6598	Gaines County Sheriffs Office	TX	Gaines	1	iDEN	08/21/2001	L	N	Υ	08/05/2002			
6604	Garza County Sheriffs Office	ТХ	Garza	1	iDEN	08/21/2001		Y	N			2	LEC SR not 20-digit capable; RFS received 08/21/01 4/28/03 - NPI re-evaluating call routing and will notify
6609	Glasscock County Sheriffs Office	ΤX	Glasscock		iDEN	08/21/2001		N	N		09/26/2003	4	PSAP shortly if change to be made. No Sites Currently Anticipated Routing to this PSAP; RFS received 08/21/01;
	Goliad County Sheriffs Office	TX	Goliad	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Ÿ	07/24/2001	00/20/2000		
_	Gregg County Sheriffs Office	TX	Gregg	1	iDEN	08/16/2000	 	N	Y	04/19/2001			
6742	Longview Public Safety Communications	ТX	Gregg	1	iDEN	06/19/2000		N	Y	01/30/2003			
6623	Hale County Sheriffs Office	TX	Hate	1	iDEN	08/21/2001	<u> </u>	N	Y	09/17/2002			
6832	Plainview Police Department	ΤX	Hale	1	IDEN	02/19/2001		N	Y	05/30/2001			
6832	Plainview Police Department	TX	Hale	2	iDEN	01/07/2003		N	N		11/25/2003	1, 4	7/30/03 - NPI working through trunk issues with SBC.
1955	Hardin County Sheriffs Office	TX	Hardin	1	IDEN	08/16/2000	 	N	Y	01/24/2001	11/23/2003	<u>', *</u>	
	Harrison County Sheriffs Department	TX	Harrison	1	iDEN	03/19/2002		N	Ÿ	09/19/2002		-	
_	Harrison County Sheriffs Department	TX	Harrison	2	IDEN	03/19/2002	 	N N	N	00/10/2002	11/6/2003	4	
	Marshall Police Department	TX	Harrison	1	iDEN	03/19/2002		N	N		09/26/2003	4_	4/28/03 - NPI re-evaluating cali routing and will notify PSAP shortly if change to be made. No Sites Currently Anticipated Routing to this PSAP; RFS received 08/21/01;
				_		00//0/0000	ļ	ļ			20/00/0000		4/28/03 - NPI re-evaluating call routing and will notify PSAP shortly if change to be made. No Sites Currently Anticipated Routing to this PSAP; RFS received
	Marshall Police Department	TX	Harrison	2	IDEN	03/19/2002	 	N	N V	40/00/2000	09/26/2003	44	08/21/01;
_	Donna Police Department		Hidalgo	1	iDEN IDEN	08/21/2001	 	N N	Y	10/08/2002			ļ
	Edinburg Police Department Hidalgo County Sheriffs Office		Hidalgo Hidalgo	1 1	IDEN IDEN	08/21/2001		N		01/29/2002			
	Hidalgo County Shentis Office Hidalgo Police Department		Hidalgo	1	IDEN	04/11/2003		N N	Ÿ	05/21/2003	-		
	McAllen Police Department		Hidalgo	 	IDEN	08/21/2001		N	\- \'	01/29/2002			
	Mission Police Department		Hidalgo	1	IDEN	08/21/2001		N	Ÿ	01/29/2002			<u> </u>
	Pharr Police Department	TX	Hidalgo	1	iDEN	08/21/2001		N	Y	01/29/2002		<u> </u>	
	Weslaco Police Department		Hidalgo	1	IDEN	08/21/2001		N	Ÿ	01/29/2002			1
	Hill County Sheriffs Office		Hill	1	iDEN	07/13/2000		N	Y	11/15/2000			
5367	Hillsboro Police Department		Hill	1	IDEN	07/13/2000		N	Υ	11/15/2000			
6728	Levelland Law Enforcement Center	TX	Hockley	1	iDEN	08/21/2001		N	Y	09/17/2002			
6654	Hopkins County Sheriffs Office	TX	Hopkins	1	IDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	05/21/2002			
	Howard County 9-1-1 Communications District	TX	Howard	1	iDEN	08/27/2001	+	N	Y	07/24/2002			
	Jackson County Sheriffs Office	TX	Jackson	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	03/19/2001			
551	Beaumont Police Department	TX	Jefferson	1	iDEN	08/16/2000	<u> </u>	N_	Y	01/24/2001			

PSAP ID	PSAP Name	PSAP State	PSAP County	Implemen tation Phase	Air Interface	Date PSAP Request Made	Date PSAP Request Withdrawn	invalid Request	Deployed	Date Deployed	Date Projected	Reasons	Comment
1180	Jefferson County Sheriffs Office	ΤX	Jefferson	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	01/24/2001			
	Port Arthur Police Department	TX	Jefferson	1	IDEN	08/16/2000		N	 '	03/14/2003			
	Jim Hogg Sheriffs Office	TX	Jim Hogg	1	iDEN	03/03/2003		N N	- 'n	03/14/2003	10/7/2003		
	Alice Police Department	TX	Jim Wells	1	IDEN	08/16/2000		N N	Y	07/23/2001	10/7/2003		
6681	Jim Wells County Sheriffs Office	TX	Jim Wells	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	07/23/2001	-		
	Johnson County Sheriffs Department	TX	Johnson	- 	iDEN	08/16/2000		<u>;;</u>	Ÿ	10/25/2001			
	Kingsville Police Department	TX	Kleberg	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	07/23/2001			
6703	Kleberg County Sheriffs Office	TX	Kleberg	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Ÿ	07/23/2001			
6709	La Salle County Sheriffs Office	TX	La Salle	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	06/18/2002	-		
6717	Lampasas County Sheriffs Office	TX	Lampasas	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	08/06/2002			
6737	Live Oak County Sheriffs Office	TX	Live Oak	1	iDEN	12/20/2002		N	Y	03/25/2003			
6744	Lubbock County Sheriffs Department	_TX	Lubbock	1	iDEN	02/19/2001		N	Y	05/30/2001	7		
6744	Lubbock County Sheriffs Department	TX	Lubbock	2	iDEN	01/07/2003		2	N		11/20/2003		
6746	Lubbock Police Department	TX	Lubbock	1	iDEN	02/19/2001		N	Υ	05/30/2001			
6746	Lubbock Police Department	TX	Lubbock	2	iDEN	01/07/2003	r	N	N		11/20/2003	·	
6906	Slaton Police Department	TX	Lubbock	1	iDEN	04/10/2003		N	Υ	05/07/2003			
6906	Staton Police Department	TX	Lubbock	2	iDEN	04/10/2003		N	N		11/25/2003		
6749	Lynn County Sheriffs Office	TX	Lynn		IDEN	08/21/2001		Y					LEC Selective Router not 20-digit capable, RFS received
	Martin County Sheriffs Office	TX	Martin	1			 		N V	00/05/2002			08/01/01
	Waco Police Department	- '	McLennan	-	iDEN iDEN	08/21/2001 07/13/2000	 	N	Y	08/05/2002	 	Ļ	
	Waco Police Department	TX	McLennan	2	IDEN	07/24/2003	 -	N	N N	11/02/2000	1/21/2004		
	Midland Emergency Communications District		Midland	 }	iDEN	12/13/2000	}	N N	N Y	06/06/2001	1/21/2004	<u> </u>	
	Midland Emergency Communications District	TX	Midfand	2	iDEN	03/11/2002	ļ	N N	N N	06/06/2001	11/10/2003		
	Colorado City Police Department	TX	Mitchell	1 (iDEN	08/16/2000	 	N N	Y	05/15/2002	11/10/2003		
	Morris County Sheriffs Office	TX	Morris	- 	iDEN	08/16/2000	·	N	Y	05/31/2002			
	Nacogdoches County Sheriffs Office	TX	Nacogdoches	1-	iDEN	04/22/2002	 	N	Y	10/18/2002			
	Nacogdoches Police Department	TX	Nacogdoches	- i (IDEN	04/22/2002		N N	$\frac{1}{Y}$	10/18/2002			
	Sweetwater Police Department	TX	Nolan	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N N	- '	06/28/2002	<u> </u>		
	Corpus Christi Police Department	TX	Nueces	1	iDEN	08/16/2000	 -	N IA	Y	04/03/2003			
	Corpus Christi Police Department	TX	Nueces	2	iDEN	09/13/2002	-	N	N N	04/03/2003	11/18/2003	1	7/30/03 - awaiting SBC to process trunk orders with PSAP.
6804	Nueces County Sheriffs Office	TX	Nueces	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	07/23/2001			_
6835	Port Aransas Police Department	TX	Nueces	1	iDEN	08/16/2000	-	N	Ŷ	08/09/2002			
	Robstown Police Department	TX	Nueces	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	07/23/2001			
2639	Orange County Sheriff	TX	Orange	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Ŷ	01/24/2001			
	Amarillo Police Department	TX	Potter	1	iDEN	01/16/2001		N .	Y	06/15/2001	_		
6399	Amarillo Police Department	TX	Potter	2	iDEN	06/28/2002		N	N		11/12/2003		
	Randall County Sheriffs Office	TX	Randall	1	iDEN	01/16/2001		N	Y	06/15/2001			
	Randali County Sheriffs Office	TX	Randall	2	iDEN	06/28/2002		N	N		11/13/2003	- 	
6851	Refugio County Sheriffs Office	TX	Refugio	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	07/23/2001			-
	Robertson County Sheriff	TX	Robertson	1	iDEN	02/18/2003		N.	Y	07/15/2003			-
6873	Runnels County Sheriffs Office	TX	Runnels	_ 1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	07/25/2002			
-	Henderson Police Department		Rusk_	1	iDEN	03/19/2002		N	Z		09/26/2003	2, 4	7/30/03 CPE equip updated in June 2003. NPI trying to verify test date for late September with PSAP, PSAP will be deployed Phase I & II. 4/30/03 - PSAP CPE equipment is not ready for Phase II. PSAP will resubmit request when capability is expected.
2927	Henderson Police Department	тх	Rusk	_2	iDEN	03/19/2002		N	N _.		09/26/2003	2, 4	7/30/03 CPE equip updated in June 2003. NPI trying to verify test date for late September with PSAP, PSAP will be deployed Phase I & II. 4/30/03 - PSAP CPE equipment is not ready for Phase II. PSAP will resubmit request when capability is expected.
6874	Rusk County Sheriffs Department	ТX	Rusk	_ 1	iDEN	03/19/2002		N	2		09/26/2003	2, 4	7/30/03 CPE equip updated in June 2003. NPI trying to verify test date for late September with PSAP. PSAP will be deployed Phase I & II. 4/30/03 - PSAP CPE equipment is not ready for Phase II. PSAP will resubmit request when capability is expected.
6874	Rusk County Sheriffs Department	ТX	Rusk	2	iden	03/19/2002		N	N		09/26/2003	2,4	7/30/03 CPE equip updated in June 2003. NPI trying to verify test date for late September with PSAP, PSAP will be deployed Phase I & II, 4/30/03 - PSAP CPE equipment is not ready for Phase II. PSAP will resubmit request when capability is expected.

				Implemen		Date PSAP	Date PSAP	. 438 ¥87 ¥67					
PSAP ID	PSAP Name (1994) and the state of the state	PSAP State	****************************	tation Phase	Air Interface	Request Made	Request Withdrawn	Invalid Request	Deployed	Date Deployed	Date Projected	Reasons	Comment
6667	Ingleside Police Department	TX	San Patricio	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	07/03/2002			# #551-0153-031 <u>0-053-031114-010-0555</u> -030-055-031 <u>0-05-050</u> -
6758	Mathis Police Department	TX	San Patricio	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Ÿ	07/23/2001			·
6887	San Patricio County Sheriffs Department	TX	San Patricio	1	IDEN	08/16/2000		N	Ÿ	07/23/2001			
6893	Scurry County Sheriffs Department	TX	Scurry	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Ÿ	05/15/2002			
6907	Smith County Sheriffs Department	TX	Smith		iDEN	07/11/2000		N	Y	02/28/2002			
				<u> </u>								_	7/20/02 2000
6907	Smith County Sheriffs Department	TX	Smith	2	iDEN	03/12/2001		N	N	1	03/31/2004	,	7/30/03 - PSAP moving to new facility and has asked NPI to deploy after facility available in first quarter 2004.
	Tyler Police Department	TX	Smith	1 1	iDEN	07/11/2000		N	- N	02/28/2002	03/3/1/2004	2	
				 ' 		077172000		<u>"</u>	<u> </u>	02/20/2002			
8054	Tyler Police Department	TX	Smith	,	:OEN	02/40/2004							7/30/03 - PSAP moving to new facility and has asked NPI to deploy after facility available in first quarter 2004.
	Rio Grande City Police Department	TX	Starr	1	iDEN :OEN	03/12/2001		N	N	07/04/0000	03/31/2004	2	To depoy and rapinty available in hist quarter 2004.
_	Roma Police Department	TX	Starr	┝╌┤┤	iDEN	08/16/2000		N N	Y	07/31/2002			
	Starr County Sheriffs Office	TX			IDEN	08/16/2000	<u> </u>	N.	Y	07/31/2002	ļ		
	Country Doctors Inn	TX	Starr	1-1-1	IDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	06/21/2002	<u> </u>		
			Sterling	1-1-1	iDEN	08/21/2001		N.	Y	06/24/2002			
	Tulia Police Department Abilene Police Department	TX TX	Swisher	1 1	iDEN ::DEN	08/21/2001		N .	Y	05/06/2003			
	Abilene Police Department		Taylor	1 1	IDEN	06/19/2000		N	Y	03/20/2001			
	Abilene Police Department Taylor County Sheriffs Department	TX TX	Taylor	2	iDEN_	08/28/2001		N.	N N	107/15/222	11/4/2003		
			Taylor	1-1-1	IDEN	06/17/2003		N	Υ	07/15/2003	77,		 _
1000	Taylor County Sheriffs Department	TX	Taylor	2	iDEN	08/28/2001		N.	N		11/5/2003		
6459	Brownfield Police Department	TX	Terry	1 1	iDEN	08/21/2001		Y	N	\			4/30/03 - LEC SR not 20-digit capable; RFS received 08/21/01
6788	Mt Pleasant Police Department	TX	Titus	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	05/21/2002			
6878	San Angelo Communications Center	TX	Tom Green	1	iDEN	08/21/2001		N	Y	06/17/2002			
6961	Upshur County Sheriffs Office	TX	Upshur	1_1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	04/19/2001			
6970	Victoria Police Department	ŢΧ	Victoria	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	03/19/2001			
6781	Monahans Police Department	TX	Ward	1	iDEN	08/21/2001		N	Y	08/14/2002			
6721	Laredo Police Department	TX	Webb	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	01/30/2003			
6984	Webb County Sheriffs Office	TX	Webb	1	iDEN	08/16/2000		N	Y	12/13/2001			<u> </u>
7002	Willacy Sheriffs Department	TX	Willacy	1	IDEN	08/21/2001		N	Υ	01/29/2002			
6694	Kermit Police Department	ŤΧ	Winkler	1	IDEN	08/21/2001		N	Y	08/05/2002			
7015	Zapata County Sheriffs Office	TX	Zapata	_ 1 _	iDEN	02/04/2003		N	Y	05/21/2003			
000	Charlottesville-UVA-Albemarie City ECC	VA	Albemarle	1	iDEN	04/09/2001		N	Y	12/21/2001			
000	Charlottesville-UVA-Albernarie City ECC	VA	Albemarle	2	iDEN	06/21/2001		N	Y	12/19/2002			
7082	Amherst County Communications	VA	Amherst	2	iDEN	07/09/2003		N	N		1/5/2004		7/30/03 - NPI will deploy Phase I & II together.
7082	Amherst County Communications	VA	Amherst	_ 1 _	iDEN	07/09/2003		N	N		1/5/2004		7/30/03 - NPI will deploy Phase I & II together.
7211	Staunton 9-1-1 Communications	VA	Augusta	1	iDEN	01/02/2003		N	N		10/6/2003		
7087	Bedford Communications	VA	Bedford	_ 1 _	iDEN	01/07/2003		N	Y	04/24/2003			
7087	Bedford Communications	VA	Bedford	2	iDEN	05/27/2003		N	N		11/23/2003		
7090	Botetourt County Emergency Communications Cer	VA	Botetourt	1	iDEN	09/19/2002		N	Y	05/01/2003			
	Botetourt County Emergency Communications Cer	VA	Botetourt	2	iDEN	06/17/2003		N _	N		12/14/2003	-	
7091	Bristol 9-1-1 Communications	VA	Bristol City	1	iDEN	05/09/2002		N	Y	01/23/2003			
	Bristol 9-1-1 Communications	V <u>A</u>	Bristol City	2	IDEN	02/01/2003		N	Y	07/25/2003			
7095	Campbell County Communications	VA	Campbell	1	iDEN	01/24/2003		N _	N		8/19/2003		
	Twin County Dispatch	VA	Carroll	t	iDEN	04/15/2003		N	N		10/12/2003		
7116	Danville Emergency Services	VΑ	Danville City	1	iDEN	05/29/2003		N	N		11/25/2003		7/30/03 - NPI will deploy Phase I & II together.
7116	Danville Emergency Services	VA	Danville City	2	iDEN	05/29/2003		N	N		11/25/2003		7/30/03 - NPI will deploy Phase I & if together.
7128	Fluvanna County Sheriff	VA	Fluvanna	1	iDEN	09/26/2002		N	Υ	06/03/2003			
	Franklin County Communications Center	VA	Franklin	1	IDEN	11/27/2002		N	Y	06/09/2003			
7131	Frederick County Department of Public Safety Con	VA	Frederick	1	IDEN	06/22/2001	1	N	Y	06/10/2003			
7131	Frederick County Department of Public Safety Con	VA	Frederick	2	IDEN	06/22/2001		N N	Y	06/10/2003			
1	Winchester Emergency Communications Center	VA	Frederick	2	(DEN	06/22/2001		N N	N		9/9/2003	2	7/30/03 - In June03, PSAP identified equipment in place to deploy Phase I & II. NPI proceeding with deployment of Phase I & II together.
7227	Afficial to the second		 				i						7/30/03 - In June03, PSAP identified equipment in place to deploy Phase I & II. NPI proceeding with deployment
	Winchester Emergency Communications Center		Frederick	_1	iDEN	06/22/2001		Y	N I		9/9/2003	2	of Phase I & II together.
	Greene County Sheriff		Greene	1	iDEN	02/12/2003		N	ΥΥ	06/26/2003			
/164	Martinsville-Henry County 9-1-1	VA	Henry	1	iDEN	07/22/2002		N	Υ	11/22/2002	I		

PSAP ID	PSAP.tuma	PSAP State	PSAP County	implemen tatlon Phase	Air Interface	Date PSAP Request Made	Date PSAP Request Withdrawn	Invalid Request	Daployed	Date Deployed	Date Projected	Reasons	Comment
7164	Martinsville-Henry County 9-1-1	VA	Henry	2	iDEN	07/22/2002		7	Y	11/22/2002			
7160	Lynchburg Emergency Communications Center	VA	Lynchburg	1	iDEN	03/11/2002	· <u>-</u>	N	Y	07/30/2002			
$\overline{}$	Lynchburg Emergency Communications Center	VA	Lynchburg	2	iDEN	03/11/2002		N	Ÿ	02/03/2003			
	Madison County E911	VA	Madison		iDEN	09/26/2002		N N	N	02/03/2003	10/10/2003		
7088	Blacksburg Police Department	VA	Montgomery	1	iDEN	01/15/2003		N	Y	03/26/2003	10/10/2003		
7088	Blacksburg Police Department	VΑ	Montgomery	2	iDEN	06/30/2003			N	00/20/2000	12/27/2003		
7105	Christiansburg Police Department	VA	Montgomery	1	iDEN	01/15/2003		N	Y	03/26/2003	12.2.72000		
7105	Christiansburg Police Department	VA	Montgomery	2	iDEN	06/30/2003		N	N	00/20/	12/27/2003		
243	Montgomergy County Sheriffs Office	VA	Montgomery	2	iDEN	06/30/2003		N	N		12/27/2003		
243	Mantgomery County Sheriffs Office	VA	Montgomery	1	iDEN	01/15/2003		N	Υ	04/04/2003			
7188	Radford City Police Department	VA	Montgomery	2	iDEN	06/30/2003		N	N		12/27/2003		
7169	Nelson County Emergency Communications	VA	Nelson	_ 1	iDEN	03/25/2003		N	N		9/21/2003		
7181	Pittsylvania County Emergency Operations Center	٧A	Pittsylvania	1	iDEN	07/01/2002		N	Υ	11/21/2002			
7181	Pittsylvania County Emergency Operations Center	VA	Pittsylvania	2	iDEN	07/01/2002		N	Υ	11/21/2002			
7187	Pulaski County Sheriff	VA	Pulaski	1	iDEN	11/13/2002		N	Υ	03/03/2003			1
7187	Pulaski County Sheriff	VA	Pulaski	2	iDEN	06/30/2003		N	N		12/27/2003	,	
7188	Radford City Police Department	VΑ	Radford City	1	iDEN	07/31/2002		N	Y	01/24/2003			
	Reanoke City Communications	VA	Roanoke	1 [IDEN	01/24/2001		N	Υ	06/21/2002			
7192	Roancke City Communications	VΑ	Roanoke	2	iDEN	01/31/2003		N	_ Y	05/27/2003			
7193	Roanoke County Police Department	VA	Roanoke	1	iDEN	02/02/2001		N	Y	06/21/2002			
7194	Rockbridge Regional Public Safety Communication	VA	Rockbridge	1	iDEN	02/19/2002		N	 	08/08/2002			
7144	Harrisonburg Rockingham Emergency Operations	VA	Rockingham	1	iDEN	01/20/2003		N	N	_	09/26/2003	1, 2	7/30/03 - PSAP working with LEC to configure trunks and equipment with selective router equipment & software.
7196	Salem Police Communications	VA	Salem City	1	<u>i</u> DEN	10/30/2001		N	Y	06/21/2002			
7196	Salem Police Communications	VA	Salem City	_2	iDEN	03/06/2002		N	_ Y	10/31/2002			
	Smyth County 9-1-1	VA	Smyth	1	iDEN	04/19/2002		N	Υ	10/22/2002			
	Washington County Communications	VA	Washington	1	IDEN	01/10/2002		N	Y	06/17/2002			
4472	Washington County Communications	<u>V</u> A	Washington	2	iDEN	03/29/2003		N	Y	07/25/2003			
	Waynesboro Emergency Operations Center	VA	Waynesboro (1	iDEN	04/22/2003		Y	N		!	2,4	7/30/03 - PSAP can only deploy NCAS and NPI can only support CAS. Invalid letter sent to the PSAP in May03.
	Vermont State Police-Williston	VT	Chittenden	1	iDEN	04/26/2002		N N	Y	12/04/2002			
7242	Vermont State Police-Williston	_VT	Chittenden	_ 2	iDEN	04/26/2002		<u>N</u>	Υ	12/04/2002			
	Shelburne Police Department	VT	Chittendon	_1_	iDEN	04/26/2002		N	Y	12/04/2002			
7237	Shelburne Police Department	VT	Chittendon	_ 2	iDEN	04/26/2002		N	. Y	12/04/2002			
7239	St Albans Police	VT	Franklin	1	iDEN	04/26/2002		N N	ΥΥ	11/21/2002			
	St Albans Police	VT	Franklin	_2	iDEN	04/26/2002		N	Y	11/21/2002			
7234	Lamoille County Sheriff	VT	Lamoille	_1	iDEN_	04/26/2002		N	ΥΥ	11/21/2002			
$\overline{}$	Lamoille County Sheriff	VT	Lamoille	2	iDEN	04/26/2002		N	Y	11/21/2002			
$\overline{}$	Vermont State Police-Rutland Vermont State Police-Rutland	VT	Rutland	$-\frac{1}{2}$	iDEN	04/26/2002		N	Y	12/04/2002			
	Montpelier City Police	VT VT	Rutland	_2	iDEN_	04/26/2002	~	N	Y	12/04/2002			
	Montpeller City Police Montpeller City Police		Washington	1	iDEN	04/26/2002		N	Y	12/04/2002			
	Montpeller City Police Hartford Police Department		Washington	_2	iDEN	04/26/2002		N	Y	12/04/2002			
	Hartford Police Department Hartford Police Department	VI	Windsor	1 2	iDEN	04/26/2002		N	Y	11/21/2002			
	Cabell County CCERC		Windsor Cabeli	1	iDEN iDEN	04/26/2002 12/11/2001		N	Y	11/21/2002			
	Metro Emergency Operations Center		Kanawha	1	iDEN	-			Y	06/25/2002			
	Metro Emergency Operations Center Metro Emergency Operations Center	w	Kanawna Kanawha	2	IDEN	11/01/2001 07/15/2003		N		07/18/2002	1/11/2004		
	Putnam County E9-1-1	W	Putnam	1	iDEN	08/19/2002		N	N Y	01/12/2002	1/ 1/2004	• • •	
	Wood County Central Telecommunications Center		Wood	- ' -	iDEN	01/10/2003		N	- Y	01/13/2003 06/16/2003			
		. , ,		_'	IVEN	01/10/2003		14	r	00/10/2003	_		

SAP ID PSAP Name.	PSAP State	PSAP County	implementation Phase	Air Interface	Date PSAP Request Made	Date PSAP Request Withdrawn	Invalid Request	Deployed	Date Deployed	Date Projected	Reasons	Comment
249 Notasulga Police Department	AL	Macon	10070 000 1	iDEN.								
278 Tuskeges City Police Department	AL	Macon		IDEN	10/10/2001		N	N				NO ACTION
127 Alexander City Police Department	AL	Tallapoosa		IDEN			N	N				NO ACTION
315 Dallas County Sheriffs Department	AR	Dalias	and the second		05/30/2002		N	N				NO ACTION
351 McGehee Police Department	AR	Desha		IDEN.	09/24/2001		N	N				NO ACTION
292 Batesville Central Dispatch	AR	Independence		IDEN	10/03/2001		N	N .				NO ACTION
346 Madison County Sheriffs Department	AR	Madison		IDEN	02/12/2001		N.	N				NO ACTION
348 Marion County Sheriffs Department	AR	Marion		iden	02/06/2003		N	N				No Sites currently anticipated in this County, RFS received 2/06/03
243 Montgomery County Sheriffs Office		Montgomery	1000 1000 1000	IDEN	10/04/2001		N	N				No Sites currently anticipated in this County, RFS received 11/20/00
368 Polk County Sheriffs Office		Polk		iden	09/24/2002		N	N				No Sites currently anticipated in this County, RFS received 09/24/02
N/A City of Benjon PD	AR			IDEN	08/20/2001		N	N				No Sites currently anticipated in this County, RFS received 08/20/01
385 Stone County Sheriffs Department	The second second	Saline		IDEN	08/20/2001		N	Υ	12/18/2001			REMOVE - Status as PSAP # 374
316 El Dorado Police Department		Stone		IDEN	11/15/2002		N	N				No Sites currently anticipated in this County: RFS received 11/15/02
387 Van Buren County-Fairfield Bay	11 (a) 1 (a) 1 (b) 1 (b) 1 (c)	Union		IDEN	01/24/2002		N	N				No Sites currently anticipated in this County; RFS received 1/24/02
	Aller Children and	Van Buren	1	IDEN	08/07/2001		N	N N			a de la composición dela composición de la composición dela composición de la compos	No Sites currently anticipated in this County, RFS received 08/07/01
393 Woodraff County 9-1-1	CHICAGO CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF	Woodruff		IDEN	09/20/2001		N	N				No Sites currently anticipated in this County, RFS received 09/20/01
1468 Cethour County Sheriffs Department	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	Calhoun	1	iden	10/16/2002		N	N I	**************************************		Control of the Contro	No Sites currently anticipated in this County, RFS received 10/15/02
V/A West Des Moines Police Department	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000	Dallas	1	IDEN	04/26/2001		N	Y	11/02/2001			Remove from report if no latter available:
2086 Osyfiee County Sheriffs Department		Owyhee		iDEN	02/05/2003		N	N				
2280 Jasper County E9-1-1	IL.	Jasper	1 - 1	iDEN	05/07/2001		N	N				No Sites currently anticipated in this County, RFS received 02/05/03. No Sites at this time RFS recy May 01.
800 Metcom	IL.	McLean		IDEN	03/24/2003		= N	N		9/20/2003	VIII. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	17.
2340 Milan Police Department	IL.	Rock Island		IDEN	11/16/2000	war war w	N	N		3/2U/2U03	Name and Address of the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, where the Owner, which is the O	Remove from report if no letter available No Sites route to this PSAP
340 Milan Police Department	IL.	Rock Island	2	IDEN	11/16/2000		N	ท่			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
426 Scott County Sheriffs Department	IL.	Scott	1	IDEN	11/29/2001	MUNICIPAL TO L	N	N				No Sites route to this PSAP
596 Jennings County 9-1-1	IN									10.000		No Sites route to this PSAP
		Jennings		iden	11/02/2001		N .	N				4/29/03 : No Sites currently anticipated routing to this PSAP, RFS receive 11/02/01
639 Crange County Sheriff	□ IN □	Orange	1	IDEN	01/31/2001		N	N				4/29/03 - No Sites currently anticipated routing to this PSAP: RFS receive
880 Butter County EOC	KY	Butler										1/31/01
	, A	outer	2014 A	IDEN	08/13/2002		N	N				4/29/03 - No Sites currently anticipated routing to this PSAP; RFS receive 08/13/02
566 Greensburg Police Department	KY (Green	1	IDEN	01/04/2001		N	N				4/29/03 - No Sites currently anticipated routing to this PSAP; RFS receive
VA Hopkins County E-911	KY I	Hopkins	**********	IDEN	07/16/2003		N	N		1/12/2004	4-1111-renziste 1	J104701
000 Makon County Emergency Dispatch	KY I	Mason		iDEN	11/21/2000		N	N I		171272004		Remove from report if no fetter available
638 Ohio County Communications	KY (Ohio	1	iDEN	06/16/2003		N	N I				NO ACTION
884 Campbellsville 9-1-1 Communications												NO ACTION
074 East Carroll Parish Sheriffs Office		aylor		IDEN	12/04/2000		N	N				4/29/03 - No Sites currently anticipated routing to this PSAP; RFS receive 12/04/00
011 Haziehurst Police Department	to a subject to the same and	ast Carroll		IDEN	06/30/2003		Ñ	N				Vo Action
548 Vaffey City Police Department		Copiah	1 1 7 9	IDEN	10/17/2000		N	N				yo Action
492 Benson County Sheriffs Department		dames :	1		07/01/2003		N	N			i	IO ACTION
194 BtA Law Enforcement-Ft Totten		Benson	1 2 4	A. C. L. C. L. Springer	07/01/2003		N	N				IO ACTION
	74 APR 1 75	Benson	1	IDEN	07/01/2003		N	N			77	40 ACTION
504 Cavaller County Sheriffs Office	7	avalier	4	IDEN	07/01/2003		N	N				IO ACTION
506 Dickey County Sheriffs Department	ii day of the same of	Dickey	1		07/01/2003		N	N				IO ACTION
507 Eddy County Shariff		ddy	1	IDEN	07/01/2003		N	N				IO ACTION
510 Föster County Sheriff		oster	1	IDEN	07/01/2003		N	N .				IO ACTION
14 Gilggs County		inggs			07/01/2003		N	N				O ACTION
28 Nelson County Sheritt	III Michigan and Company of the Comp	ieison	1	IDEN	07/01/2003		N	N				IO ACTION
31 Pembina County	OF STREET, SALES STREET, STATE	embina	1		07/01/2003		N	N .				IO ACTION
32 Pierce County Sheriff	v	ierce	1		07/01/2003		N	N				O ACTION
37 Rugby Police Department		ierce	. 1		07/01/2003		N	N.				DACTION DACTION
17 Lake Region 9-1-1	A STREET, STRE	amsey	1		07/01/2003		N	N				O ACTION
33 Ransom County	the state of the s	ansom			07/01/2003		N	N				
35 Richland County Communications		lichland			07/01/2003		N	N				OACTION
96 BIA Law Enforcement-Turks Mountain Agency		ciette			07/01/2003		N	N				O ACTION
36 Rolette County Sheriff	ND R	olette	1		07/01/2003	- 1	N	N .			100	CACTION
38 Sargent County	NO S	argent	1		07/01/2003		N	N				O ACTION
39 Shéridan County		heridan	i i i	THE RESERVE AND THE PERSON NAMED AND THE PERSON NAM	07/01/2003		N -	470 M				CACTION
43 Skele County Sheriffs Department		teele	1		07/01/2003		N N	N		<u> </u>		O ACTION
44 Stutsman County Communications		tutsman			07/01/2003		IN C	N			N	O ACTION .

NO LOY GIN				N	N		10/07/2002	NBOL		Bamberg	ЭS	Bamberg County Emergency Services	6023
NO YCLICH				N	N		1002/01/70	NEG	î I	nosnifol,	NL	Johnson County Dispatch	£8Z9
NO VCLION				N	N		1002/01/70	IDEN	L	Hancock	NL	Hencock County Sheriffs Office	1961
CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF				N	N		06/23/2003	NECI		lishzisM	K	1-1-63 (AMO) INVESTOR	Carrier Street
A/29/03 - No Sites currently enfolgated routing to this PSAP, NJ S received 01/20/02.				, N	N		01/20/2002	NECI			K	Deatlyville Police Department	0182
ACSYOD - No Sites currently anticipated routing to this PSAP, RFS received nozyvoo				A STATE OF THE STA	N		10/27/2000	NBO		Lawrence	KX	Lauvence County 9-1-1 Center	596Z
OGAJANO 4/SAO3 - NO Siles crusellijk suricibered conting to this PSAP, REG received				ii iN j	N.		0002/61/90	Nagi		Hancock	SM	Meveland Police Department	\$01¥
NOTOX ON				N	N		Z00Z/9Z/ V 0	IDEM	71	VVIndsor	ĪΛ	Vermont State Police-Rockingham	7240
NO VCLION					N		2002/92/10	NEGI	Z'I	Windsor	ΙΛ	Springfield Police Department	3196
4/29/03 - No Sites currently amilicipated routing to this PSAP, IEE received				1000	N		1111412002	IDEM	.	Rappahannoc	ΑV	Pappahannock Coarly Sheriff	7188
OS/DRIOS - 4/0 Sites crimently suircibered conduits to this DRAP; INC.2; secented				N	N		05/06/2002	NEO		- ebed	AV :	Page County Emergency Operations Center	2212
09/X0/03 / NO Siles on usuff), supplied conjuit to this PEAP; RES received			Transcondenius	N	N		1002/02/80	IDEN	1	Morton City	۸V	Norton 9-1-1 Communications	PTIT
C0/0C/10				N	N		6002/42/10	NBOI		Charlotte	۷۸	Chanota County Shariffa Office	CTAT
4/29/03 - No Sales currently analogued routing to this PSAP, RES received				N	N		04/24/2003	NEGI		Vileghany	٧٨	COVINGION Emergency Dispatch	1111
4/29/03 : No Silve currently amilicipated routing to this PSAP, 1975 received				N	- N		2002/10/50	IDEN	,	Alleghany	٧٨	Covingion Emergency Dispatch	FFFF
CONTROL ACRES CHIPTON Anticipated routing to this PSAP. INFS received				Santa Santa	N		1002/21/60	N∃Œ		Ahma	ΧL	Mentatede Police Department	8669
COVIZMO AVAILAND Sites currently anticripated routing to this PEAP; RFS received				N	N		07/11/2000	DEN	1	dims	ΧL	Victories Department	8669
OSYL2001 Av Sites currently artiticipated (outling to this PSAP), RFS received AvS9/03 - No Sites currently artiticipated (outling to this PSAP), RFS received				N seed	N		03/12/2001	Nagi	7	u)iiuS	ΧT	Lindale Police Department	F £29
OOX12/O Devision Committee and property and property of the PSAP, RES PARKED between				N	N		0002/11/20	NEO!	1 2 2	rhime	ΧL	Lindale Police Department	P £Z9
ACS/03 - No Sites crusently surjected equiting to this Polyto, REG received 03/12/01				*}************************************	N		03/15/2001	DEN	7	unus	ΧL	SOME SECOND INC.	bgga
423/03 - No Stee crue-up surplibated compute to gire PSAP: RFS received controls				N	N		0002/11/20	IDEN	1	amna	ХI	SM3 sexeT Jae3	
beviecen 29M GASS girtt of polition belingtains ythremus selic ov - 20/20.4											(0.0101.0100000)		
SASS aid of behand of all behandshire sales of - CO/OC/T				N	N		03/15/5001	N⊒CI		Rusk	XT	Overling Solice Department	A SEC.
FOXES eith of behanned on belegicitie celle on . COLOCKT				N N	N		0002/11/20	NEC!		Rusk	XL	Preminspe Q epilor rothero Preminspe Q epilor rothero	
perveneu SJM JOYSJ Still of Surprior peredictions (Specially Section 2006)	1									Potter		Potter County Sheriffs Office	
A/29/03 : No Siles currently anticipated nouting to this PSAP; RFS neceived AG/28/02				N	N		Z00Z/8Z/90	NEO	Z		ΧT		žeriji (17.)
ACSVD: - No Skes cumently ambippated routing to that PSAP. TREs received				N	N		1002/91/10	IDEM		Potter	ΧT	Politer County Shellthe Office	
4/29/03 - No Siles carrently anticipated routing to this PSAP. RFS received Bridator				J. J. J.			08/16/2000	NBOI		90 G		Dee County Sheriffs Office	
OH22/03 - No Sies curently anticipated routing to the PSAP, RPS received				N	J		04/22/200 5	NECK	100	. 6nllegnA	ΧL	SM3 m/7 collog nizhu.)	
07/22/02 No Siles currently anticipated routing to tise PSAP, RFS received				N	N		07/22/2002	DEN		YnspallA	ž	Albegany County Sheriffs Office	1603
NOVELICA INTERPRETATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	41 111111111111111111111111111111111111			N	N	inniyazayadi	67/01/2003	IDEN		zilə\V	αN	Wells County Sharth Office	40
NO ACTION				N	N		07/01/2003	IDEN		rieleVV	ON.	Walsh County Communications	
NO TION ON				N	N		6002\10\70	N∃O		TOWNE	ON	Towner County Sheiffs Department	STST
Comment	eucesey.	Date Decided	Deployed	pekojdeg	bilsvol JeeupeA	RASS ets Care to the care to t	qA2억 ətsQ issupsЯ ebsM	Alt	Noththramelqmi azzrii	Conuth Leve	aysd aysts	W NWS	9 A 29